

Spectral properties of random Markov matrices

P. Caputo, with C. Bordenave and D. Chafai, and D. Piras

Hong Kong - Jan 6-9, 2015

Outline

A natural model for a random **Markov matrix**:
stochastic matrix K with random entries

$$K_{i,j} = \frac{U_{i,j}}{\sum_k U_{i,k}} \quad U_{i,j} \geq 0 \quad \text{i.i.d.}$$

Outline

A natural model for a random **Markov matrix**:
stochastic matrix K with random entries

$$K_{i,j} = \frac{U_{i,j}}{\sum_k U_{i,k}} \quad U_{i,j} \geq 0 \quad \text{i.i.d.}$$

Reversible case: $U_{i,j} = U_{j,i}$ (random conductances)

Non-reversible case: $U_{i,j}$ i.i.d. (weighted oriented graph)

Bulk behavior: convergence of empirical spectral density of K

1. Finite second moment: semi-circular law, circular law
2. Heavy tails: $\mathbb{P}(U_{i,j} > t) \sim t^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, new invariance principles

Random *reversible* stochastic matrix

$G = (V, E)$: **complete** graph over n vertices with self-loops
 $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$, $E = \{\{i, j\}, i, j \in V\}$.

Random network (G, \mathbf{U}) :

$$\mathbf{U} = (U_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n}$$

i.i.d. RV's with law \mathcal{L} on $[0, \infty)$.

Symmetry (undirected graph): $U_{ji} = U_{ij}$, $j > i$.

Random walk on (G, \mathbf{U}) :

$$K_{ij} = \frac{U_{ij}}{\rho_i}, \quad \rho_i = \sum_{j=1}^n U_{ij}.$$

K is a **reversible** stochastic matrix: $\rho_i K_{ij} = \rho_j K_{ji}$.

Eigenvalues of K

K is a.s. irreducible and aperiodic with eigenvalues:

$$-1 < \lambda_n \leq \lambda_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_2 < \lambda_1 = 1.$$

Empirical spectral distribution (ESD):

$$\mu_K = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_i}.$$

Moments: $p_\ell(i)$ return probability at i after ℓ steps

$$\int_{-1}^1 x^\ell \mu_K(dx) = \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}(K^\ell) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_\ell(i).$$

Convergence of ESD μ_K (after scaling if necessary) ?

Finite variance, reversible case

Suppose $\mathbb{E}[U_{ij}^2] < \infty$,

$\mathbb{E}[U_{ij}] = 1$ (no loss of generality), $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}[(U_{ij} - 1)^2]$.

Theorem

If $\sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$, then almost surely

$$\mu_{\sqrt{n}K} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \mathcal{W}_{2\sigma},$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{2\sigma}$ is Wigner's *Semi-circle law*:

$$\mathcal{W}_{2\sigma}(dx) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \sqrt{4\sigma^2 - x^2} \mathbf{1}_{[-2\sigma, 2\sigma]}(x) dx.$$

Finite variance, reversible case

Suppose $\mathbb{E}[U_{ij}^2] < \infty$,

$\mathbb{E}[U_{ij}] = 1$ (no loss of generality), $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}[(U_{ij} - 1)^2]$.

Theorem

If $\sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$, then almost surely

$$\mu_{\sqrt{n}K} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \mathcal{W}_{2\sigma},$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{2\sigma}$ is Wigner's *Semi-circle law*:

$$\mathcal{W}_{2\sigma}(dx) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \sqrt{4\sigma^2 - x^2} \mathbf{1}_{[-2\sigma, 2\sigma]}(x) dx.$$

Idea of proof (perturbation argument):

Uniform strong LLN: $\rho_i \sim n \mathbb{E}[U_{ij}] = n$, $K_{ij} \sim n^{-1} U_{ij}$.

$$\delta_n := \max_{i=1, \dots, n} |\rho_i/n - 1| = o(1), \text{ a.s. } (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

Heavy tails

For $\alpha > 0$, we say that $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, or simply $U_{ij} \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, if

$$G(t) = \mathbb{P}(U_{ij} > t) = L(t) t^{-\alpha},$$
$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(xt)}{L(t)} = 1, \quad x > 0. \quad (\text{slow variation})$$

$\alpha \in (0, 2) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[U_{ij}^2] = \infty$, U_{ij} in domain of attract. of α -stable law.

Heavy tails

For $\alpha > 0$, we say that $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, or simply $U_{ij} \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, if

$$G(t) = \mathbb{P}(U_{ij} > t) = L(t) t^{-\alpha},$$
$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(xt)}{L(t)} = 1, \quad x > 0. \quad (\text{slow variation})$$

$\alpha \in (0, 2) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[U_{ij}^2] = \infty$, U_{ij} in domain of attract. of α -stable law.

Scaling: $a_n = n^{1/\alpha} \ell(n)$, with $\ell(n)$ slowly varying,

$$n G(a_n t) \rightarrow t^{-\alpha} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Example: $X = \text{Unif}[0, 1]$ then $X^{-1/\alpha} \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, with $a_n = n^{1/\alpha}$.

Heavy tails

For $\alpha > 0$, we say that $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, or simply $U_{ij} \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, if

$$G(t) = \mathbb{P}(U_{ij} > t) = L(t) t^{-\alpha},$$
$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(xt)}{L(t)} = 1, \quad x > 0. \quad (\text{slow variation})$$

$\alpha \in (0, 2) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[U_{ij}^2] = \infty$, U_{ij} in domain of attract. of α -stable law.

Scaling: $a_n = n^{1/\alpha} \ell(n)$, with $\ell(n)$ slowly varying,

$$n G(a_n t) \rightarrow t^{-\alpha} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Example: $X = \text{Unif}[0, 1]$ then $X^{-1/\alpha} \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, with $a_n = n^{1/\alpha}$.

Recall:

a_n^{-1} (order statistics of n i.i.d. RVs in \mathcal{H}_α) $\sim (\Gamma_1^{-1/\alpha}, \dots, \Gamma_n^{-1/\alpha})$

where $\Gamma_k = \sum_{i=1}^k E_i$, and E_i are i.i.d. $\text{Esp}(1)$, i.e. $\text{PPP}(\alpha x^{-\alpha-1})$.

Heavy tails: i.i.d. case

Symmetric i.i.d. matrix $A = (A_{ij})$, with $|A_{ij}| \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(A_{i,j} > t)}{\mathbb{P}(|A_{i,j}| > t)} = \theta \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem

For $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, there exists a symmetric probability μ_α on \mathbb{R} depending only on α such that, a.s.

$$\mu_{a_n^{-1}A} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_i(a_n^{-1}A)} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \mu_\alpha.$$

Moreover μ_α is a.c. with bounded density and $\mu_\alpha([t, \infty)) \sim \frac{1}{2} t^{-\alpha}$.

Bouchaud–Cizeau (PRE 1994), Zakharevich (CMP 2006), Ben Arous–Guionnet (CMP 2008). Belinschi–Dembo–Guionnet (CMP 2009). Resolvent method (Stieltjes transform).

Our approach gives an alternative proof.

Heavy tails: Markov matrix

Stochastic matrix $K_{ij} = U_{ij}/\rho_i$, with $U_{ij} \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$. μ_α as above.

Theorem

Suppose $\alpha \in [1, 2)$. Then, a.s.

$$\mu_{\kappa_n K} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_i(\kappa_n K)} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \mu_\alpha.$$

where $\kappa_n = n w_n a_n^{-1}$, $w_n = \mathbb{E}[U_{ij} \chi(U_{ij} \leq a_n)]$.

Theorem

Suppose $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then, there exists a probability measure $\tilde{\mu}_\alpha$ on $[-1, 1]$ depending only on α such that, a.s.

$$\mu_K = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_i(K)} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \tilde{\mu}_\alpha.$$

Scaling, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$:

$$a_n^{-1}(\rho_i - nw_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} s_\alpha \quad \alpha\text{-stable}$$

$\alpha \in (1, 2)$: $w_n \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[U_{ij}] = 1$ and $\rho_i/n \rightarrow 1$ a.s.

$$\kappa_n K \sim na_n^{-1}K \sim a_n^{-1}A, \quad \text{where } A \text{ has i.i.d. entries.}$$

Scaling, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$:

$$a_n^{-1}(\rho_i - nw_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} s_\alpha \quad \alpha\text{-stable}$$

$\alpha \in (1, 2)$: $w_n \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[U_{ij}] = 1$ and $\rho_i/n \rightarrow 1$ a.s.

$$\kappa_n K \sim na_n^{-1}K \sim a_n^{-1}A, \quad \text{where } A \text{ has i.i.d. entries.}$$

$\alpha = 1$, then $\rho_i/nw_n \rightarrow 1$ in probability

[example: $U = (\text{Unif}[0, 1])^{-1}$, then $\kappa_n = w_n = \log n$]

$$\kappa_n K = nw_n a_n^{-1}K \sim a_n^{-1}A, \quad A \text{ i.i.d. entries.}$$

Scaling, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$:

$$a_n^{-1}(\rho_i - nw_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} s_\alpha \quad \alpha\text{-stable}$$

$\alpha \in (1, 2)$: $w_n \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[U_{ij}] = 1$ and $\rho_i/n \rightarrow 1$ a.s.

$$\kappa_n K \sim na_n^{-1}K \sim a_n^{-1}A, \quad \text{where } A \text{ has i.i.d. entries.}$$

$\alpha = 1$, then $\rho_i/nw_n \rightarrow 1$ in probability

[example: $U = (\text{Unif}[0, 1])^{-1}$, then $\kappa_n = w_n = \log n$]

$$\kappa_n K = nw_n a_n^{-1}K \sim a_n^{-1}A, \quad A \text{ i.i.d. entries.}$$

$\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then $a_n^{-1}\rho_i \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} s_\alpha^+$

each row of K converges to Poisson-Dirichlet(α): $\Gamma_k = \sum_{i=1}^k E_i$

$$Z = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right)^{-1} \left(\Gamma_1^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \Gamma_2^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \dots \right).$$

Some ideas of the proof

Start with symmetric i.i.d. matrix $A_{ij} = U_{ij}$ as a **weighted graph**:

Convergence of resolvents from

local convergence of graphs

[Bordenave, Lelarge]

Objective method (Aldous-Steele '04)

Limiting graph is a random infinite rooted tree $(\mathcal{T}_\alpha; o)$:

Recall that $a_n^{-1}(\text{order stat. of row } 1) \sim PPP(\alpha x^{-\alpha-1})$

This convergence can be extended to local convergence to the Poisson weighted infinite tree **PWIT** (Aldous '92)

Define **PWIT** $(m_\alpha) = \mathcal{T}_\alpha$, for

$$m_\alpha(dx) = \alpha x^{-1-\alpha} dx, \quad \text{on } (0, \infty).$$

Start from the root o , with \mathbb{N} offsprings. Each edge (o, k) is given a mark ξ_k where $\xi_1 > \xi_2 > \dots$ is a realization of $\text{PPP}(m_\alpha)$.

The **distance** of offspring k from o is defined by ξ_k^{-1} . Repeat this *independently* at each offspring to obtain an infinite ∞ -ary tree with Poissonian marks (PWIT).

Define **PWIT** $(m_\alpha) = \mathcal{T}_\alpha$, for

$$m_\alpha(dx) = \alpha x^{-1-\alpha} dx, \quad \text{on } (0, \infty).$$

Start from the root o , with \mathbb{N} offsprings. Each edge (o, k) is given a mark ξ_k where $\xi_1 > \xi_2 > \dots$ is a realization of $\text{PPP}(m_\alpha)$.

The **distance** of offspring k from o is defined by ξ_k^{-1} . Repeat this *independently* at each offspring to obtain an infinite ∞ -ary tree with Poissonian marks (PWIT).

Convergence: (G, \mathbf{U}) rooted at 1. The vector $(a_n^{-1} U_{1,j})_j$ converges in distribution to $\text{PPP}(m_\alpha)$, (via order statistics). In the *local* sense

$$(G, \mathbf{U}; 1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{T}_\alpha; o).$$

(again via order statistics. Small weights correspond to points far away from the root.) This holds **for any** $\alpha > 0$.

Similar result for $A = (A_{ij})$, with $|A_{ij}| = U_{ij} \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$. *Signed marks*.

Key point: for $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, this convergence is sufficient to establish convergence (in distribution) of resolvent diagonal entries. Hilbert space is $\ell^2(\mathcal{V})$, \mathcal{V} the vertices of the tree:

$$\langle \delta_1, (a_n^{-1}A - z)^{-1}\delta_1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle \delta_o, (\mathbf{T} - z)^{-1}\delta_o \rangle$$

where \mathbf{T} is the limiting operator associated to $a_n^{-1}A$:

$$\langle \delta_u, \mathbf{T}\delta_v \rangle = \xi_{u,v} \quad \text{mark across edge } (u, v) \text{ in } \mathcal{T}_\alpha.$$

\mathbf{T} is symmetric in $\ell^2(\mathcal{V})$. Note: If \mathbf{T} is self adjoint then $\exists \mu_{\mathbf{T}}$

$$\langle \delta_o, (\mathbf{T} - z)^{-1}\delta_o \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu_{\mathbf{T}}(dx)}{x - z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+$$

Taking expectation we have $\mathbb{E}\mu_{a_n^{-1}A} \rightarrow \mu_\alpha := \mathbb{E}[\mu_{\mathbf{T}}]$, since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\mu_{a_n^{-1}A}](dx)}{x - z} = \mathbb{E}[\langle \delta_1, (a_n^{-1}A - z)^{-1}\delta_1 \rangle] \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\mu_{\mathbf{T}}](dx)}{x - z}$$

Technical point: must show \mathbf{T} is essentially self-adjoint; no solution $\varphi \neq 0$ of $\mathbf{T}^*\varphi = \pm i\varphi$ (exploit tree structure).

Almost sure convergence $\mu_{a_n^{-1}A} \rightarrow \mu_\alpha$ follows from concentration properties of ESD of i.i.d. matrices.

This gives an alternative proof in the i.i.d. case
[see Ben Arous–Guionnet 08 for an earlier different proof]

Technical point: must show \mathbf{T} is essentially self-adjoint; no solution $\varphi \neq 0$ of $\mathbf{T}^*\varphi = \pm i\varphi$ (exploit tree structure).

Almost sure convergence $\mu_{a_n^{-1}A} \rightarrow \mu_\alpha$ follows from concentration properties of ESD of i.i.d. matrices.

This gives an alternative proof in the i.i.d. case
[see Ben Arous–Guionnet 08 for an earlier different proof]

Properties of μ_α .

Recursive Distributional Equation: $h(z) = \langle \delta_o, (\mathbf{T} - z)^{-1} \delta_o \rangle$
satisfies

$$h(z) \stackrel{d}{=} - \left(z + \sum_k \xi_k h_k(z) \right)^{-1}$$

where ξ_k is PPP($m_\alpha/2$) and $h_k(z)$ are i.i.d. copies of $h(z)$.

The **Markov matrix case**: again network convergence.

$\alpha \in (0, 1)$: the PPP(m_α) satisfies $\sum_i \xi_i < \infty$ a.s.

$(K_{1,j})_j \sim PD(\alpha)$ *Poisson-Dirichlet law* (Pitman-Yor '97).

$$Z = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right)^{-1} \left(\Gamma_1^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \Gamma_2^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \dots \right) \quad \Gamma_k = \sum_{i=1}^k E_i.$$

Limit operator **K** describes **Random Walk** on **PWIT** \mathcal{T}_α

$$\mathbf{K}_{u,v} = \frac{\xi_{u,v}}{\rho_u}, \quad \rho_u = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}: v \sim u} \xi_{u,v}.$$

Here, for every $u \in \mathcal{V}$: $\{\xi_{u,v}, v \in \mathcal{V} : v \text{ child of } u\}$ is PPP(m_α).

Note: limit operator **K** is a non-trivial generalization of Poisson-Dirichlet law (dependencies!).

\mathbf{K} is *bounded* self adjoint operator in $\ell^2(\mathcal{V}, \rho)$ and $\mathbb{E}\mu_{\mathbf{K}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mu}_{\alpha} = \mathbb{E}[\mu_{\mathbf{K}}]$, since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\mu_{\mathbf{K}}](dx)}{x - z} = \mathbb{E}[\langle \delta_1, (\mathbf{K} - z)^{-1} \delta_1 \rangle] \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\mu_{\mathbf{K}}](dx)}{x - z}$$

$\mu_{\mathbf{K}}$ spectral measure of \mathbf{K} at the root vector δ_o .

\mathbf{K} is *bounded* self adjoint operator in $\ell^2(\mathcal{V}, \rho)$ and $\mathbb{E}\mu_{\mathbf{K}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mu}_{\alpha} = \mathbb{E}[\mu_{\mathbf{K}}]$, since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\mu_{\mathbf{K}}](dx)}{x - z} = \mathbb{E}[\langle \delta_1, (\mathbf{K} - z)^{-1} \delta_1 \rangle] \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\mu_{\mathbf{K}}](dx)}{x - z}$$

$\mu_{\mathbf{K}}$ spectral measure of \mathbf{K} at the root vector δ_o .

Moments of $\mu_{\mathbf{K}}$ are return probabilities for RW on \mathcal{T}_{α} .

Shape of $\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}$: Beta-like law on $[-1, 0]$ and $[0, 1]$.

Tail of $\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}$ at edge: $\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}(1 - \varepsilon, 1) \sim \varepsilon^{\alpha}$.

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \delta_{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_0 + \frac{1}{4} \delta_1, \quad \alpha \downarrow 0$$

\mathbf{K} is *bounded* self adjoint operator in $\ell^2(\mathcal{V}, \rho)$ and $\mathbb{E}\mu_{\mathbf{K}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mu}_{\alpha} = \mathbb{E}[\mu_{\mathbf{K}}]$, since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\mu_{\mathbf{K}}](dx)}{x - z} = \mathbb{E}[\langle \delta_1, (\mathbf{K} - z)^{-1} \delta_1 \rangle] \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\mu_{\mathbf{K}}](dx)}{x - z}$$

$\mu_{\mathbf{K}}$ spectral measure of \mathbf{K} at the root vector δ_o .

Moments of $\mu_{\mathbf{K}}$ are return probabilities for RW on \mathcal{T}_{α} .

Shape of $\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}$: Beta-like law on $[-1, 0]$ and $[0, 1]$.

Tail of $\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}$ at edge: $\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}(1 - \varepsilon, 1) \sim \varepsilon^{\alpha}$.

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \delta_{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_0 + \frac{1}{4} \delta_1, \quad \alpha \downarrow 0$$

Spectral gap: $1 - \lambda_2 = O(n^{-1/\alpha})$ (up to SV corrections).

Reversible invariant measure of RW on **PWIT** \mathcal{T}_α .

$\tilde{\rho}_1 \geq \tilde{\rho}_2 \geq \dots \geq \tilde{\rho}_n$ ranked values of invariant vector

$$(\rho_1 + \dots + \rho_n)^{-1} (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n).$$

Theorem

1. If $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then

$$\tilde{\rho} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} \frac{1}{2} (V_1, V_1, V_2, V_2, \dots),$$

where $V_1 > V_2 > \dots$ is a Poisson–Dirichlet $\text{PD}(\alpha, 0)$ random vector.

2. If $\alpha \in [1, 2)$, then

$$\kappa_{n(n+1)/2} \tilde{\rho} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} \frac{1}{2} (\xi_1, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_2, \dots),$$

where $\xi_1 > \xi_2 > \dots$ is $\text{PPP}(m_\alpha)$, and $\kappa_n = na_n^{-1} w_n$.

Further investigations and open problems:

- More details on the measures $\mu_\alpha, \tilde{\mu}_\alpha$
- Analysis of stochastic the process associated to the limiting operator **K**
- Extremal eigenvalues: Poisson statistics ? (known for i.i.d. matrix Soshnikov 2004, Auffinger-Ben Arous-Peche 2008)

Non-reversible Markov matrix

$G = (V, E)$: **complete oriented** graph over n vertices with self-loops $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$, $E = \{(i, j), i, j \in V\}$.

Random network (G, \mathbf{U}) :

$$\mathbf{U} = (U_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

i.i.d. RV's with law \mathcal{L} on $[0, \infty)$. No symmetry.

Random walk on (G, \mathbf{U}) :

$$K_{ij} = \frac{U_{ij}}{\rho_i}, \quad \rho_i = \sum_{j=1}^n U_{ij}.$$

Eigenvalues: $|\lambda_1(K)| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n(K)|$. $\mu_K = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_i(K)}$

Circular law theorem

From works of Girko (1984) ... Bai (1997) ... Tao-Vu (2009).

$$\mathcal{U}_\sigma(dz) = \frac{1}{\pi\sigma^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|z| \leq \sigma\}} dz$$

Theorem

If $X_{i,j}$ are i.i.d. with variance $\sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ then a.s.

$$\mu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \mathcal{U}_\sigma.$$

Circular law theorem

From works of Girko (1984) ... Bai (1997) ... Tao-Vu (2009).

$$\mathcal{U}_\sigma(dz) = \frac{1}{\pi\sigma^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|z| \leq \sigma\}} dz$$

Theorem

If $X_{i,j}$ are i.i.d. with variance $\sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ then a.s.

$$\mu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \mathcal{U}_\sigma.$$

In our case we prove

Theorem

If $U_{i,j}$ has variance $\sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ and its law is a.c. with bounded density then a.s.

$$\mu_{\sqrt{n}K} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \mathcal{U}_\sigma.$$

Circular law theorem

From works of Girko (1984) ... Bai (1997) ... Tao-Vu (2009).

$$\mathcal{U}_\sigma(dz) = \frac{1}{\pi\sigma^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|z| \leq \sigma\}} dz$$

Theorem

If $X_{i,j}$ are i.i.d. with variance $\sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ then a.s.

$$\mu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \mathcal{U}_\sigma.$$

In our case we prove

Theorem

If $U_{i,j}$ has variance $\sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ and its law is a.c. with bounded density then a.s.

$$\mu_{\sqrt{n}K} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \mathcal{U}_\sigma.$$

idea: as before $|\rho_i/n - 1| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly and therefore $K_{ij} \sim \frac{U_{ij}}{n}$,
but here there is **no easy perturbation argument**

The **logarithmic potential** $U_\mu(z) = -\int_{\mathbb{C}} \log |z' - z| \mu(dz')$ determines the distribution μ :

$$\Delta U_\mu = -2\pi \mu, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{C}).$$

For any $n \times n$ matrix A :

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\mu_A}(z) &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log |\lambda_i(A) - z| = -\frac{1}{n} \log |\det(A - z)| \\ &= -\frac{1}{n} \log \det \left(\sqrt{(A - z)(A - z)^*} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let ν_A denote the ESD of *singular spectrum* $\nu_A = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\sigma_i(A)}$, where $s_i(A) = \lambda_i(\sqrt{AA^*})$. Then

$$U_{\mu_A}(z) = -\int_0^\infty \log(t) \nu_{A-z}(dt)$$

A is normal, i.e. $AA^* = A^*A$, iff $|\lambda_i(A)| = s_i(A) \forall i$. In general

$$\prod_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i(A)| = \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(A), \quad |\lambda_1(A)| \leq s_1(A), \quad |\lambda_n(A)| \geq s_n(A).$$

Lemma (Girko's hermitization strategy)

Let $(A_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of $n \times n$ matrices. We have

$$U_{\mu_{A_n}}(z) = - \int_0^\infty \log(t) \nu_{A_n-z}(dt).$$

Suppose that for a.a. $z \in \mathbb{C}$, there is a probability ν_z on $[0, \infty)$ such that

- (i) $\nu_{A_n-z} \rightarrow \nu_z$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- (ii) $\log(\cdot)$ is uniformly integrable for ν_{A_n-z}

Then there exists a probability μ on \mathbb{C} such that $\mu_{A_n} \rightarrow \mu$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $U_\mu(z) = - \int_0^\infty \log(t) \nu_z(dt)$.

[In the random case, one can use this lemma for a.a. realizations.]

For our matrices K : We prove

Theorem (singular values)

If $\sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$, then almost surely

$$\nu_{\sqrt{n}K} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} Q_\sigma.$$

where $Q_\sigma(dt) = \frac{1}{\pi\sigma^2} \sqrt{4\sigma^2 - t^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < t < 2\sigma^2\}} dt$. Moreover, for a.a. $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\nu_{\sqrt{n}K-z} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \nu_z$ with ν_z satisfying

$$U_{\mathcal{U}_\sigma}(z) := - \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log |z' - z| \mathcal{U}_\sigma(dz') = - \int_0^\infty \log(t) \nu_z(dt).$$

For our matrices K : We prove

Theorem (singular values)

If $\sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$, then almost surely

$$\nu_{\sqrt{n}K} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} Q_\sigma.$$

where $Q_\sigma(dt) = \frac{1}{\pi\sigma^2} \sqrt{4\sigma^2 - t^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < t < 2\sigma^2\}} dt$. Moreover, for a.a. $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\nu_{\sqrt{n}K-z} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \nu_z$ with ν_z satisfying

$$U_{\mathcal{U}_\sigma}(z) := - \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log |z' - z| \mathcal{U}_\sigma(dz') = - \int_0^\infty \log(t) \nu_z(dt).$$

Theorem (uniform integrability)

If $\sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$, then almost surely, for a.a. $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\log(\cdot)$ is uniformly integrable w.r.t. $\nu_{\sqrt{n}K-z}$, i.e. for all $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_n \left| \int_{|\log(\cdot)| > \beta} \log(t) \nu_{\sqrt{n}K-z}(dt) \right| > \epsilon \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Ideas of proof

Singular values: use perturbation for hermitian matrices and known results for convergence of $\nu \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \chi_{-z}$ (Pan-Zhou, Bai, ...).

Uniform integrability is harder (problems at 0, not at ∞).
Following Tao-Vu we need two facts:

Ideas of proof

Singular values: use perturbation for hermitian matrices and known results for convergence of $\nu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} \chi_{-z}$ (Pan-Zhou, Bai, ...).

Uniform integrability is harder (problems at 0, not at ∞).

Following Tao-Vu we need two facts:

1) **Smallest singular value bound**: for every $a, C > 0$ there exists $b > 0$ such that for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| \leq C$

$$\mathbb{P}(s_n(\sqrt{n}K - z) \leq n^{-b}) \leq n^{-a}.$$

Ideas of proof

Singular values: use perturbation for hermitian matrices and known results for convergence of $\nu \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X_{-z}$ (Pan-Zhou, Bai, ...).

Uniform integrability is harder (problems at 0, not at ∞).

Following Tao-Vu we need two facts:

1) **Smallest singular value bound**: for every $a, C > 0$ there exists $b > 0$ such that for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| \leq C$

$$\mathbb{P}(s_n(\sqrt{n}K - z) \leq n^{-b}) \leq n^{-a}.$$

2) Control of $s_{n-i}(\sqrt{n}K - z)$ for $n^{1-\varepsilon} < i < n$: almost surely

$$s_{n-i}(\sqrt{n}K - z) \geq c i/n.$$

Ideas of proof

Singular values: use perturbation for hermitian matrices and known results for convergence of $\nu \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \chi_{-z}$ (Pan-Zhou, Bai, ...).

Uniform integrability is harder (problems at 0, not at ∞).

Following Tao-Vu we need two facts:

1) **Smallest singular value bound**: for every $a, C > 0$ there exists $b > 0$ such that for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| \leq C$

$$\mathbb{P}(s_n(\sqrt{n}K - z) \leq n^{-b}) \leq n^{-a}.$$

2) Control of $s_{n-i}(\sqrt{n}K - z)$ for $n^{1-\varepsilon} < i < n$: almost surely

$$s_{n-i}(\sqrt{n}K - z) \geq c i/n.$$

Extensions: it's possible to remove the bdd density assumption [refined estimate for s_n following Rudelson-Vershynin, Götze-Tikhomirov]. One can also treat **sparse** graphs: $U_{ij} \mapsto \varepsilon_{ij} U_{ij}$ with ε_{ij} iid Bernoulli($p(n)$), $np(n)(\log n)^{-6} \rightarrow \infty$, $p(n) \rightarrow 0$

Non-hermitian i.i.d. heavy tailed matrices

$A = (A_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, i.i.d. with law $|A_{ij}| \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(A_{i,j} > t)}{\mathbb{P}(|A_{i,j}| > t)} = \theta \in [0, 1]$. Assume also bounded density of A_{ij} .

Theorem

There exists an isotropic probability μ_α on \mathbb{C} depending only on α such that, a.s.

$$\mu_{a_n^{-1}A} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_i(a_n^{-1}A)} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \mu_\alpha.$$

Moreover μ_α is a.c. with bounded density $\mu_\alpha(dz) = \varphi(|z|) dz$ satisfying

$$\varphi(t) \sim t^{2(\alpha-1)} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} t^\alpha}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

[No heavy tails. Shrinking of the spectrum w.r.t. singular values]

Ideas of proof I

From Girko's hermitization: need to establish a) Singular values convergence and b) Uniform integrability.

Ideas of proof I

From Girko's hermitization: need to establish a) Singular values convergence and b) Uniform integrability.

Theorem (singular values)

There exists ν_z depending on α and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, such that for a.a. $z \in \mathbb{C}$, almost surely,

$$\nu_{a_n^{-1}A-z} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \nu_z .$$

[For $z = 0$ already in Belinschi-Dembo-Guionnet 2009].

We prove it using again PWIT technology. Need a *bipartized* version of **PWIT**. Note: ν_z has heavy tails e.g. at $z = 0$!

Ideas of proof II

Theorem (uniform integrability)

For a.a. $z \in \mathbb{C}$, almost surely, $\log(\cdot)$ is uniformly integrable w.r.t.

$$\nu_{a_n^{-1}A-z}$$

As before, for the proof we need:

1) **Smallest singular value bound**: here OK by **bdd density** assumption

$$\mathbb{P}(s_n(a_n^{-1}A - z) \leq n^{-b}) \leq n^{-a}.$$

2) Control of $s_{n-i}(a_n^{-1}A - z)$ for $n^{1-\varepsilon} < i < n$:

Here we cannot have $s_{n-i}(a_n^{-1}A - z) \geq c \frac{i}{n}$.

There is not enough concentration.

We establish weaker estimates that are still sufficient.

Non-reversible Markov matrix: heavy tailed weights

[Work in progress with D. Piras]

$G = (V, E)$: **complete** *oriented* graph over n vertices with self-loops $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$, $E = \{(i, j), i, j \in V\}$.

Random network (G, \mathbf{U}) :

$$\mathbf{U} = (U_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

i.i.d. RV's with law $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. No symmetry.

As before we consider the **Random walk** on (G, \mathbf{U}) :

$$K_{ij} = \frac{U_{ij}}{\rho_i}, \quad \rho_i = \sum_{j=1}^n U_{ij}.$$

Expect convergence of ESD $\mu_K = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_i(K)}$ without scaling.

Main result

Theorem

Assume bdd density for the law \mathcal{L} . For any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists a *radial probability* $\hat{\mu}_\alpha$ on $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ depending only on α such that, a.s.

$$\mu_K = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_i(K)} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \hat{\mu}_\alpha.$$

Main result

Theorem

Assume bdd density for the law \mathcal{L} . For any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists a *radial probability* $\hat{\mu}_\alpha$ on $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ depending only on α such that, a.s.

$$\mu_K = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_i(K)} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \hat{\mu}_\alpha.$$

Key steps:

1. Convergence of singular value spectrum ν_{K-z} , for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
2. Uniform integrability of $\log(\cdot)$ for ν_{K-z} for almost all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Note: for $z = 1$, the matrix $K - z$ is singular with probability 1 !

The singular values

Theorem (Bulk)

There exists a probability measure $\hat{\nu}_{\alpha,z}$ on \mathbb{R}_+ , depending on α and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, such that for a.a. $z \in \mathbb{C}$, a.s.,

$$\nu_{K-z} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \hat{\nu}_{\alpha,z}.$$

The measure $\hat{\nu}_{\alpha,z}$ has unbounded support with exponential tails.

Theorem (Invertibility)

For any $\delta > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that for all $|z| < \delta^{-1}$ and $|z - 1| > \delta$ one has almost surely

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^r s_n(K - z) = +\infty.$$

Modified PWIT

Key observations:

1. **order stat. of first row**

$$= \rho_1^{-1}(\text{order statistics of } n \text{ i.i.d. RVs in } \mathcal{H}_\alpha)$$

$$\sim PD(\alpha) = \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i}, \frac{\xi_2}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i}, \dots \right)$$

2. **order stat. of first column**

$$= \left(\text{order statistics of } \frac{U_{i,1}}{\rho_i} \right) \sim \left(\frac{\xi_1}{a+\xi_1}, \frac{\xi_2}{a+\xi_2}, \dots \right)$$

where $a > 0$, and $\{\xi_i\} = \{\Gamma_i^{-1/\alpha}\}$ is $PPP(\alpha x^{-\alpha-1} dx)$.

Call \mathcal{T}_α^\pm the PWIT obtained by alternating $PD(\alpha)$ generations with $\left\{ \frac{\xi_i}{a+\xi_i} \right\}$ -generations.

We obtain that bipartized matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & K \\ K^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ converges locally to the random rooted tree: \mathcal{T}_α^+ with prob. $\frac{1}{2}$ and \mathcal{T}_α^- with prob. $\frac{1}{2}$.

Bipartized matrix

Example: $n = 2$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{11} & 0 & A_{12} \\ \bar{A}_{11} & 0 & A_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{A}_{21} & 0 & A_{22} \\ \bar{A}_{12} & 0 & \bar{A}_{22} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Bipartized matrix

Example: $n = 2$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{11} & 0 & A_{12} \\ \bar{A}_{11} & 0 & A_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{A}_{21} & 0 & A_{22} \\ \bar{A}_{12} & 0 & \bar{A}_{22} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Moreover, B similar to

$$\tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & A_{21} & A_{22} \\ \bar{A}_{11} & \bar{A}_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{A}_{12} & \bar{A}_{22} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ A^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Bipartized matrix

In general,

$B = (B_{ij})$, with $B_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{ij} \\ \bar{A}_{ji} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is 2×2 matrix.

Since B similar to $\tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ A^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\mu_B = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\delta_{\sigma_i(A)} + \delta_{-\sigma_i(A)}).$$

New resolvents: $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\eta \in \mathbb{C}_+$:

$$R(U) = (B - U \otimes I_n)^{-1}, \quad U = U(z, \eta) = \begin{pmatrix} \eta & z \\ \bar{z} & \eta \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $R(U)_{kk} = \begin{pmatrix} a_k(z, \eta) & b_k(z, \eta) \\ \bar{b}_k(z, \eta) & c_k(z, \eta) \end{pmatrix}$

New resolvents: $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\eta \in \mathbb{C}_+$:

$$R(U) = (B - U \otimes I_n)^{-1}, \quad U = U(z, \eta) = \begin{pmatrix} \eta & z \\ \bar{z} & \eta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Then } R(U)_{kk} = \begin{pmatrix} a_k(z, \eta) & b_k(z, \eta) \\ \bar{b}_k(z, \eta) & c_k(z, \eta) \end{pmatrix}$$

Crucial relations: a random matrix A with exchangeable entries satisfies, in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{C})$

$$\mathbb{E}\mu_A = -\frac{1}{4\pi}(\partial_x - i\partial_y)\mathbb{E}b_1(\cdot, 0) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} -\frac{1}{4\pi}(\partial_x - i\partial_y)\mathbb{E}b_1(\cdot, it) \quad (*)$$

To prove properties of $\mu_{a_n^{-1}A}$: establish convergence to bipartized PWIT and use relations like (*) together with recursive characterizations of $\mathbb{E}b_1(\cdot, it)$ on PWIT.