Few words around the circular law

Djalil CHAFAÏ

Université Paris-Est Marne-la-Vallée, France

AMS Short Course January 8, 2013 San Diego, CA

Elementary matrix model

Random variable X taking values in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & \cdots & X_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_{n1} & \cdots & X_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Independent and equally distributed entries X_{ij}
- Behavior of the spectrum of X ?

Algebraic and geometric spectra of $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$

Algebraic spectrum: eigenvalues (complex)

- roots in \mathbb{C} of characteristic polynomial $P_A(z) := \det(A zI)$
- $A = UTU^*$ and $diag(T) = \lambda_1(A), \dots, \lambda_n(A)$

$$|\lambda_1(A)| \geqslant \cdots \geqslant |\lambda_n(A)|$$

Spectral radius: $|\lambda_1(A)|$

Algebraic and geometric spectra of $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$

Algebraic spectrum: eigenvalues (complex)

- roots in \mathbb{C} of characteristic polynomial $P_A(z) := \det(A zI)$
- $A = UTU^*$ and $diag(T) = \lambda_1(A), \dots, \lambda_n(A)$

$$|\lambda_1(A)| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_n(A)|$$

Spectral radius: $|\lambda_1(A)|$

• Geometric spectrum: singular values (real ≥ 0)

- half lengths of principal axes of ellipsoid $\{Ax : ||x||_2 = 1\}$
- $A = UDV^*$ and $D = \text{diag}(s_1(A), \dots, s_n(A))$

•
$$s_1(A) \ge \cdots \ge s_n(A)$$

• Operator norm: $s_1(A) = \max_{\|x\|_2=1} \|Ax\|_2$

•
$$s_k(A) = \lambda_k(\sqrt{AA^*})$$

Algebraic and geometric spectra of $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$

Algebraic spectrum: eigenvalues (complex)

- roots in \mathbb{C} of characteristic polynomial $P_A(z) := \det(A zI)$
- $A = UTU^*$ and $diag(T) = \lambda_1(A), \dots, \lambda_n(A)$

$$|\lambda_1(A)| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_n(A)|$$

Spectral radius: $|\lambda_1(A)|$

• Geometric spectrum: singular values (real ≥ 0)

- half lengths of principal axes of ellipsoid $\{Ax : ||x||_2 = 1\}$
- $A = UDV^*$ and $D = \text{diag}(s_1(A), \dots, s_n(A))$

•
$$s_1(A) \ge \cdots \ge s_n(A)$$

• Operator norm: $s_1(A) = \max_{\|x\|_2=1} \|Ax\|_2$

•
$$s_k(A) = \lambda_k(\sqrt{AA^*})$$

If $AA^* = A^*A$ (normal matrix) then $s_k(A) = |\lambda_k(A)|$

Weyl inequalities and determinental rigidity

• Weyl inequalities: (= if k = n)

$$|\lambda_1(A)\cdots\lambda_k(A)|\leqslant s_1(A)\cdots s_k(A)$$

Weyl inequalities and determinental rigidity

• Weyl inequalities: (= if k = n)

$$|\lambda_1(A)\cdots\lambda_k(A)|\leqslant s_1(A)\cdots s_k(A)$$

Counting measures:

$$\mu_{A} = \frac{\delta_{\lambda_{1}(A)} + \dots + \delta_{\lambda_{n}(A)}}{n} \quad \text{et} \quad \nu_{A} = \frac{\delta_{s_{1}(A)} + \dots + \delta_{s_{n}(A)}}{n}$$

Two kinds of spectra

Weyl inequalities and determinental rigidity

$$|\lambda_1(A)\cdots\lambda_k(A)|\leqslant s_1(A)\cdots s_k(A)$$

Counting measures:

$$\mu_{A} = \frac{\delta_{\lambda_{1}(A)} + \dots + \delta_{\lambda_{n}(A)}}{n} \quad \text{et} \quad \nu_{A} = \frac{\delta_{s_{1}(A)} + \dots + \delta_{s_{n}(A)}}{n}$$

Determinental rigidity:

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_1(A)\cdots\lambda_n(A)| &= s_1(A)\cdots s_n(A) &= |\det(A)| \\ \int \log(|\lambda|) \, d\mu_A(\lambda) &= \int \log(s) \, d\mu_{\sqrt{AA^*}}(s) &= \frac{1}{n} \log|\det(A)| \end{aligned}$$

Sensitivity to perturbations

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cdots & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cdots & & \ddots & 1 \\ \varepsilon_n & \cdots & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Sensitivity to perturbations

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cdots & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cdots & & \ddots & 1 \\ \varepsilon_n & \cdots & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} AA^* &= \operatorname{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0) \\ B^n &= 0, \lambda_k(A) = 0 \end{aligned} \qquad B^n &= \varepsilon_n I_n, \lambda_k(B) = \varepsilon_n^{1/n} e^{i2\pi k/n} \end{aligned}$$

Sensitivity to perturbations

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cdots & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cdots & & \ddots & 1 \\ \varepsilon_n & \cdots & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} AA^* &= \operatorname{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0) & BB^* &= \operatorname{diag}(1, \dots, 1, \varepsilon_n) \\ A^n &= 0, \lambda_k(A) = 0 & B^n &= \varepsilon_n I_n, \lambda_k(B) &= \varepsilon_n^{1/n} e^{i2\pi k/n} \\ \begin{cases} \nu_A &\to \delta_1 \\ \mu_A &= \delta_0 \end{cases} & \begin{cases} \nu_B &\to \delta_1 \\ \mu_B &\to \operatorname{Uniform}(C(0, 1)) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

└─ Two kinds of spectra

Random matrix model

Random variable X taking values in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & \cdots & X_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_{n1} & \cdots & X_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ●

- Independent and equally distributed entries X_{ii}
- Behavior of μ_X and ν_X when $n \to \infty$?

Quarter circular law (Universality)



Circular law (Universality)



Theorem (Quarter circular law – Marchenko-Pastur)

If $Var(X_{11}) = 1$ then

$$\nu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}x} \underset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \frac{\sqrt{4 - x^2} \mathbf{1}_{[0,2]}}{\pi} dx$$

Theorem (Circular law – Girko, Bai, G.-T, Pan-Zou, Tao-Vu)

If $Var(X_{11}) = 1$ then

$$\mu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X} \underset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \frac{\mathbf{1}_{D(0,1)}}{\pi} dx dy$$

▲ロト▲母ト▲目ト▲目ト 目 のへの



Support convergence and edge behavior

If $Var(X_{11}) = 1$ then quatercircular and circular laws give a.s.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} s_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X) \geqslant 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} |\lambda_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X)| \geqslant 1$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 - のへで

Support convergence and edge behavior

If $Var(X_{11}) = 1$ then quatercircular and circular laws give a.s.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} s_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X) \geqslant 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} |\lambda_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X)| \geqslant 1$$

Theorem (Support convergence (Bai, Yin, Silverstein,...))

If $\mathbb{E}(X_{11}) = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(|X_{11}|^4) < \infty$ then a.s.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}s_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X)=2 \quad and \quad \lim_{n\to\infty}|\lambda_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X)|=1.$$

Support convergence and edge behavior

If $Var(X_{11}) = 1$ then quatercircular and circular laws give a.s.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} s_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X) \geqslant 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} |\lambda_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X)| \geqslant 1$$

Theorem (Support convergence (Bai,Yin,Silverstein,...))

If
$$\mathbb{E}(X_{11}) = 0$$
 and $\mathbb{E}(|X_{11}|^4) < \infty$ then a.s.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}s_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X)=2 \quad and \quad \lim_{n\to\infty}|\lambda_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X)|=1.$$

Idea: Gelfand spectral radius formula: for any matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$,

$$|\lambda_1(\mathbf{A})| = \lim_{k \to \infty} \left\| \mathbf{A}^k \right\|^{1/k}$$

Few words around the circular law

Quarter circular law and circular law

Why this $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ scaling?

Why this
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$
 scaling?

Second moment stabilization:

$$\int s^2 \, d\nu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X}(s) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} s_k^2(X)$$

Why this
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$
 scaling?

Second moment stabilization:

$$\int s^2 d\nu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X}(s) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} s_k^2(X)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \operatorname{Tr}(XX^*)$$

Why this
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$
 scaling?

Second moment stabilization:

$$\int s^2 d\nu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X}(s) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} s_k^2(X)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \operatorname{Tr}(XX^*)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n |X_{ij}|^2$$

Why this
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$
 scaling?

Second moment stabilization:

$$\int s^2 d\nu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X}(s) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} s_k^2(X)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \operatorname{Tr}(XX^*)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n |X_{ij}|^2$$
$$\xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}}_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(|X_{11}|^2)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ▲◎

Law of Large Numbers!

• *H* Hermitian $n \times n$ and $\eta_H := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_k(H)}$

• *H* Hermitian $n \times n$ and $\eta_H := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_k(H)}$

Moments method (combinatorics)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^r \, d\eta_H(x) = \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{Tr}(H^r)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

• *H* Hermitian $n \times n$ and $\eta_H := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_k(H)}$

Moments method (combinatorics)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^r \, d\eta_H(x) = \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{Tr}(H^r)$$

Resolvent method (limiting equation)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x-z} \, d\eta_H(x) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} ((H-zI)^{-1})$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

• *H* Hermitian $n \times n$ and $\eta_H := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_k(H)}$

Moments method (combinatorics)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^r \, d\eta_H(x) = \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{Tr}(H^r)$$

Resolvent method (limiting equation)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x-z} \, d\eta_H(x) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr}((H-zI)^{-1})$$

Enough on \mathbb{R} for the quarter circular law ($H = AA^*$)

• *H* Hermitian $n \times n$ and $\eta_H := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_k(H)}$

Moments method (combinatorics)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^r \, d\eta_H(x) = \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{Tr}(H^r)$$

Resolvent method (limiting equation)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x-z} \, d\eta_H(x) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr}((H-zI)^{-1})$$

Enough on ${\mathbb R}$ for the quarter circular law (${\it H}={\it AA}^*)$

Not enough on \mathbb{C} for the circular law!

Tightness for free

From the strong law of large numbers (SLLN):

$$\int s^2 d\nu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X}(s) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k(X)^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n |X_{ij}|^2 \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{a.s.} \mathbb{E}(|X_{11}|^2).$$

Tightness for free

From the strong law of large numbers (SLLN):

$$\int s^2 d\nu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X}(s) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k(X)^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n |X_{ij}|^2 \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{a.s.} \mathbb{E}(|X_{11}|^2).$$

From Weyl's majorization inequalities:

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k(X)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k(X)^2$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Tightness for free

From the strong law of large numbers (SLLN):

$$\int s^2 d\nu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X}(s) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k(X)^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n |X_{ij}|^2 \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{a.s.} \mathbb{E}(|X_{11}|^2).$$

From Weyl's majorization inequalities:

$$\int |\lambda|^2 d\mu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k(X)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k(X)^2 \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{a.s.} \mathbb{E}(|X_{11}|^2).$$

Conclusion: a.s.
$$(\mu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\chi})_{n \ge 1}$$
 is tight

Analysis of a Gaussian case (1/3)

Complex Ginibre Ensemble $G = (G_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ iid $\mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2}I_2)$

Analysis of a Gaussian case (1/3)

Complex Ginibre Ensemble G = (G_{ij})_{1≤i,j≤n} iid N(0, ½I₂)
 The matrix G has density on C^{n²}

$$\pi^{-n^2} \mathbf{e}^{-\sum_{i,j=1}^n |G_{ij}|^2} = \pi^{-n^2} \mathbf{e}^{-\operatorname{Tr}(GG^*)} = \pi^{-n^2} \mathbf{e}^{-\sum_{k=1}^n s_k(G)^2}$$

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 - のへで

Analysis of a Gaussian case (1/3)

Complex Ginibre Ensemble G = (G_{ij})_{1≤i,j≤n} iid N(0, ½I₂)
 The matrix G has density on C^{n²}

$$\pi^{-n^2} \mathbf{e}^{-\sum_{i,j=1}^n |G_{ij}|^2} = \pi^{-n^2} \mathbf{e}^{-\operatorname{Tr}(GG^*)} = \pi^{-n^2} \mathbf{e}^{-\sum_{k=1}^n s_k(G)^2}$$

Change of variable: $G = UTU^* \leftrightarrow (U, T = D + N)$

Analysis of a Gaussian case (1/3)

Complex Ginibre Ensemble G = (G_{ij})_{1≤i,j≤n} iid N(0, ½I₂)
 The matrix G has density on C^{n²}

$$\pi^{-n^2} \mathbf{e}^{-\sum_{i,j=1}^n |G_{ij}|^2} = \pi^{-n^2} \mathbf{e}^{-\operatorname{Tr}(GG^*)} = \pi^{-n^2} \mathbf{e}^{-\sum_{k=1}^n s_k(G)^2}$$

Change of variable: G = UTU* \leftarrow (U, T = D + N)
Tr(GG*) = Tr(TT*) = Tr(DD*) + Tr(NN*)
Analysis of a Gaussian case (1/3)

Complex Ginibre Ensemble G = (G_{ij})_{1≤i,j≤n} iid N(0, ½I₂)
 The matrix G has density on C^{n²}

$$\pi^{-n^2} e^{-\sum_{i,j=1}^n |G_{ij}|^2} = \pi^{-n^2} e^{-\operatorname{Tr}(GG^*)} = \pi^{-n^2} e^{-\sum_{k=1}^n s_k(G)^2}$$

- Change of variable: $G = UTU^* \leftrightarrow (U, T = D + N)$
- $\blacksquare \operatorname{Tr}(GG^*) = \operatorname{Tr}(TT^*) = \operatorname{Tr}(DD^*) + \operatorname{Tr}(NN^*)$
- $(\lambda_1(G), \ldots, \lambda_n(G))$ has density

$$\varphi_n(z_1,\ldots,z_n) = \frac{n!}{1!2!\cdots n!\pi^{n^2}} \exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^2\right) \prod_{1\leqslant i < j\leqslant n} |z_i-z_j|^2.$$

Analysis of a Gaussian case (2/3)

•
$$\gamma(z) := e^{-|z|^2}, H_{\ell}(z) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell!}} z^{\ell},$$

 $K(z, z') := \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} H_{\ell}(z) H_{\ell}(z')^*$

Analysis of a Gaussian case (2/3)

•
$$\gamma(z) := e^{-|z|^2}, H_{\ell}(z) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell!}} z^{\ell},$$

 $K(z, z') := \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} H_{\ell}(z) H_{\ell}(z')^*$

Then the k-points correlation is

$$\varphi_n^{(k)}(z_1,\ldots,z_k) = \frac{(n-k)!}{n!\pi^{k^2}}\gamma(z_1)\cdots\gamma(z_k)\det[K(z_i,z_j)]_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant k}$$

Analysis of a Gaussian case (2/3)

•
$$\gamma(z) := e^{-|z|^2}, H_\ell(z) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell!}} z^\ell,$$

 $K(z, z') := \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} H_\ell(z) H_\ell(z')^*$

Then the k-points correlation is

$$\varphi_n^{(k)}(z_1,\ldots,z_k) = rac{(n-k)!}{n!\pi^{k^2}}\gamma(z_1)\cdots\gamma(z_k)\det\left[K(z_i,z_j)
ight]_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant k}$$

The 1-point correlation is the density of $\mathbb{E}(\mu_G)$:

$$\varphi_n^{(1)}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \gamma(z) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} |H_\ell|^2(z) \right) = \frac{e^{-|z|^2}}{n\pi} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \frac{|z|^{2\ell}}{\ell!}.$$

Analysis of a Gaussian case (2/3)

•
$$\gamma(z) := e^{-|z|^2}, H_\ell(z) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell!}} z^\ell,$$

 $K(z, z') := \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} H_\ell(z) H_\ell(z')^*$

Then the k-points correlation is

$$\varphi_n^{(k)}(z_1,\ldots,z_k) = rac{(n-k)!}{n!\pi^{k^2}}\gamma(z_1)\cdots\gamma(z_k)\det\left[K(z_i,z_j)
ight]_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant k}$$

The 1-point correlation is the density of $\mathbb{E}(\mu_G)$:

$$\varphi_n^{(1)}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \gamma(z) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} |H_\ell|^2(z) \right) = \frac{e^{-|z|^2}}{n\pi} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \frac{|z|^{2\ell}}{\ell!}.$$

Following Mehta, this gives the mean circular law:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \varphi_n^{(1)}(\sqrt{n}z) = \pi^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(|z|), \quad \text{for all } z \to z \to z$$

16/31

Analysis of a Gaussian case (3/3)

Kostlan's observation:

$$(|\lambda_1(G)|,\ldots,|\lambda_n(G)|) \stackrel{\mathsf{law}}{=} (Z_{(1)},\ldots,Z_{(n)})$$

where Z_1, \ldots, Z_n are independent with $Z_k^2 \sim \text{Gamma}(k, 1)$

Analysis of a Gaussian case (3/3)

Kostlan's observation:

$$(|\lambda_1(G)|,\ldots,|\lambda_n(G)|) \stackrel{\mathsf{law}}{=} (Z_{(1)},\ldots,Z_{(n)})$$

where Z_1, \ldots, Z_n are independent with $Z_k^2 \sim \text{Gamma}(k, 1)$ Following Rider, this gives

$$|\lambda_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}G)| \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{a.s.} 1$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Analysis of a Gaussian case (3/3)

Kostlan's observation:

$$(|\lambda_1(G)|,\ldots,|\lambda_n(G)|) \stackrel{\mathsf{law}}{=} (Z_{(1)},\ldots,Z_{(n)})$$

where Z_1, \ldots, Z_n are independent with $Z_k^2 \sim \text{Gamma}(k, 1)$ Following Rider, this gives

$$|\lambda_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}G)| \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\longrightarrow} 1$$

• Moreover if $\gamma_n := \log(n/2\pi) - 2\log(\log(n))$ then

$$\sqrt{4n\gamma_n} \left(|\lambda_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}G)| - 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_n}{4n}} \right) \stackrel{\mathsf{law}}{\underset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow}} \mathrm{Gumbel}.$$

(the Gumbel law has cdf $x \mapsto e^{-e^{-x}}$ on \mathbb{R})

Large deviations (1/2)

Setting $V(z) = |z|^2$, the density of $\lambda_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}G), \dots, \lambda_n(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}G)$ is $c_n e^{-n \sum_{i=1}^n V(z_i)} \prod_{i < j} |z_i - z_j|^2$

Large deviations (1/2)

• Setting $V(z) = |z|^2$, the density of $\lambda_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}G), \dots, \lambda_n(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}G)$ is $c_n e^{-n \sum_{i=1}^n V(z_i)} \prod_{i < j} |z_i - z_j|^2$

Rewriting in terms of $\mu_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{z_k}$:

$$c_n \exp\left(-n^2\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n V(z_k) - \frac{2}{n^2}\sum_{i< j}\log|z_i - z_j|\right)\right)$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Large deviations (1/2)

• Setting $V(z) = |z|^2$, the density of $\lambda_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}G), \dots, \lambda_n(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}G)$ is $c_n e^{-n \sum_{i=1}^n V(z_i)} \prod_{i < j} |z_i - z_j|^2$

Rewriting in terms of $\mu_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{z_k}$:

$$c_n \exp\left(-n^2\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n V(z_k) - \frac{2}{n^2}\sum_{i< j}\log|z_i - z_j|\right)\right)$$

• Approximation as $n \gg 1$:

$$\approx c_n \exp\left(-n^2 \mathcal{I}(\mu_n)\right)$$

where \mathcal{I} is the logarithmic energy with external field:

$$\mathcal{I}(\mu) := \int V(z) \, d\mu + \iint \log rac{1}{|z-w|} \, d\mu(z) d\mu(w).$$

18/31

Large deviations (2/2)

Hiai-Petz and BenArous-Zeitouni: for every set S

$$\mathbb{P}(\mu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}G} \in S) \approx \exp\left(-n^2 \inf_{S} \mathcal{I}\right).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Large deviations (2/2)

Hiai-Petz and BenArous-Zeitouni: for every set S

$$\mathbb{P}(\mu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}G} \in S) \approx \exp\left(-n^2 \inf_{S} \mathcal{I}\right).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ●

• inf $\mathcal{I} = 0$ achieved only by circular law \mathcal{C}_1 (Saff-Totik)

Large deviations (2/2)

Hiai-Petz and BenArous-Zeitouni: for every set S

$$\mathbb{P}(\mu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}G} \in S) \approx \exp\left(-n^2 \inf_{S} \mathcal{I}\right).$$

• inf $\mathcal{I} = 0$ achieved only by circular law \mathcal{C}_1 (Saff-Totik)

It follows by the first Borel-Cantelli lemma that

$$\mu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}G} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \mathcal{C}_1.$$

Large deviations (2/2)

Hiai-Petz and BenArous-Zeitouni: for every set S

$$\mathbb{P}(\mu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}G} \in S) \approx \exp\left(-n^2 \inf_{S} \mathcal{I}\right).$$

• inf $\mathcal{I} = 0$ achieved only by circular law \mathcal{C}_1 (Saff-Totik)

It follows by the first Borel-Cantelli lemma that

$$\mu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}G} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \mathcal{C}_1.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Note : logarithmic energy = - Voiculescu free entropy

Proof of the circular law

E Logarithmic potential of a probability measure μ on $\mathbb C$

$$U_\mu(z) = \int_\mathbb{C} \log rac{1}{|z-\lambda|} \, d\mu(\lambda) = -(\log |\cdot|*\mu)(z)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

Proof of the circular law

E Logarithmic potential of a probability measure μ on $\mathbb C$

$$U_{\mu}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log rac{1}{|z-\lambda|} \, d\mu(\lambda) = -(\log |\cdot| * \mu)(z)$$

Fundamental solution of the Laplace equation

$$\Delta \log |\cdot| \stackrel{\mathcal{D}'}{=} 2\pi \delta_0$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Proof of the circular law

E Logarithmic potential of a probability measure μ on $\mathbb C$

$$U_\mu(z) = \int_\mathbb{C} \log rac{1}{|z-\lambda|} \, d\mu(\lambda) = -(\log |\cdot|*\mu)(z)$$

Fundamental solution of the Laplace equation

$$\Delta \log |\cdot| \stackrel{\mathcal{D}'}{=} 2\pi \delta_0$$

Inversion formula

$$-\Delta U_{\mu} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}'}{=} 2\pi\mu$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Hermitization

$$\begin{aligned} -U_{\mu_A}(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log |z - \lambda| \, d\mu_A(\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \log |\det(A - zI)| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \log \det \sqrt{(A - zI)(A - zI)^*} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \log(s) \, d\nu_{A - zI}(s). \end{aligned}$$

Hermitization

$$\begin{split} -U_{\mu_A}(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log |z - \lambda| \, d\mu_A(\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \log |\det(A - zI)| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \log \det \sqrt{(A - zI)(A - zI)^*} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \log(s) \, d\nu_{A - zI}(s). \end{split}$$

$$\mu_{A} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta \int_{0}^{\infty} \log(s) \, d\nu_{A-zl}(s)$$

Hermitization

$$\begin{split} -U_{\mu_A}(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log |z - \lambda| \, d\mu_A(\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \log |\det(A - zI)| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \log \det \sqrt{(A - zI)(A - zI)^*} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \log(s) \, d\nu_{A - zI}(s). \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

$$\mu_{A} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta \int_{0}^{\infty} \log(s) \, d\nu_{A-zl}(s)$$
$$\mu_{A} \leftarrow (\nu_{A-zl})_{z \in \mathbb{C}}$$

21/31

If $\lim_{n\to\infty} \nu_{A_n-zl} = \nu_z$ weakly then do we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_{A_n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta \int_0^\infty \log(s) \, d\nu_{A_n - zl}(s)$$
$$\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta \int_0^\infty \log(s) \, d\nu_z(s)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Problem: singularity of the logarithm near 0 and ∞

Lemma (Hermitization (Girko))

If A_n is a random variable on $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and if for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$

• $\nu_{A_n-zI} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \nu_z$ (deterministic)

log is uniformly integrable for ν_{A_n-zl}

Then

$$\mu_{A_n} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta \int_0^\infty \log(s) \, d\nu_z(s).$$

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 - のへで

Allows to prove the circular law (take $A_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X$)

Lemma (Hermitization (Girko))

If A_n is a random variable on $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and if for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$

• $\nu_{A_n-zI} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \nu_z$ (deterministic) • log is uniformly integrable for ν_{A_n-zI}

Then

$$\mu_{A_n} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta \int_0^\infty \log(s) \, d\nu_z(s).$$

Allows to prove the circular law (take $A_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X$)

$$\prod_{n\to\infty} \int s^{-p} \, d\nu_{A_n-zl}(s) < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} \int s^p \, d\nu_{A_n-zl}(s) < \infty$$

Lemma (Hermitization (Girko))

If A_n is a random variable on $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and if for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$

\$\nu_{A_n-zI}\$ \$\mathcal{degreen} \$\nu_z\$ (deterministic)
 log is uniformly integrable for \$\nu_{A_n-zI}\$

Then

$$\mu_{A_n} \underset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta \int_0^\infty \log(s) \, d\nu_z(s).$$

Allows to prove the circular law (take $A_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X$) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int s^{-p} d\nu_{A_n - zl}(s) < \infty$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int s^p d\nu_{A_n - zl}(s) < \infty$ $s_{n-k}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X - zl)$ and $s_1(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X - zl)$

Large singular values (easy!)

For any $0 and any <math>z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}}\int s^p\,d\nu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X-zI}(s)<\infty.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Large singular values (easy!)

For any $0 and any <math>z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}}\int s^p\,d\nu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X-zl}(s)<\infty.$$

This follows from the strong law of large numbers:

$$\int s^2 d\nu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X-zl}(s) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k (\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X-zl)^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n |\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X_{ij}-zl_{ij}|^2$$
$$\stackrel{a.s.}{=} O(1).$$

Small singular values (difficult)

• We need to show that for some p > 0,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int s^{-p}\,d\nu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X-zl}(s)<\infty.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 - のへで

Small singular values (difficult)

• We need to show that for some p > 0,

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \int s^{-p} d\nu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X-zI}(s) < \infty.$$
a.s. $s_n(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X+M) \ge n^{-b}$ (Tao-Vu)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 - のへで

Small singular values (difficult)

• We need to show that for some p > 0,

$$\begin{split} \overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} \int s^{-p} d\nu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X-zI}(s) < \infty. \\ \bullet \text{ a.s. } s_n(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X+M) \geqslant n^{-b} \text{ (Tao-Vu)} \\ \bullet \text{ a.s. for } n^{1-\gamma} \leqslant i \leqslant n-1, \, s_{n-i}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X+M) \geqslant c\frac{i}{n} \text{ (Tao-Vu)} \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 - のへで

Small singular values (difficult)

• We need to show that for some p > 0,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int s^{-p}\,d\nu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X-zI}(s)<\infty.$$

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n s_i^{-p} \leqslant c^{-p}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{n}{i}\right)^p + 2n^{-\gamma}n^{bp}.$$

Small singular values (difficult)

• We need to show that for some p > 0,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int s^{-p}\,d\nu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X-zI}(s)<\infty.$$

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n s_i^{-p} \leqslant c^{-p}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{n}{i}\right)^p + 2n^{-\gamma}n^{bp}.$$

• The Riemann sum for $\int_0^1 s^{-p} ds$ converges if 0

Small singular values (difficult)

• We need to show that for some p > 0,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int s^{-p}\,d\nu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X-zI}(s)<\infty.$$

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n s_i^{-p} \leqslant c^{-p}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{n}{i}\right)^p + 2n^{-\gamma}n^{bp}.$$

The Riemann sum for ∫₀¹s^{-p} ds converges if 0
 This leads to take 0

Other occurrences of the circular law (1/2)

• *-algebra $\mathcal A$ with involution * and tracial state au

Other occurrences of the circular law (1/2)

 \blacksquare *-algebra $\mathcal A$ with involution * and tracial state τ

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Example: $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \, \cdot^* = \overline{\cdot}^\top, \, \tau = \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{Tr}$

Other occurrences of the circular law (1/2)

- *-algebra \mathcal{A} with involution * and tracial state τ
- **Example:** $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \, \cdot^* = \overline{\cdot}^\top, \, \tau = \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{Tr}$
- *-law of $a \in A$ = mixed moments in a and a^* :

$$\tau(a^{\varepsilon_1}\cdots a^{\varepsilon_m}), \quad \varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_m \in \{1,*\}, \quad m \ge 1$$

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 - のへで
- \blacksquare *-algebra $\mathcal A$ with involution * and tracial state τ
- **Example:** $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \, \cdot^* = \overline{\cdot}^\top, \, \tau = \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{Tr}$
- \star -law of $a \in \mathcal{A}$ = mixed moments in a and a^* :

$$\tau(a^{\varepsilon_1}\cdots a^{\varepsilon_m}), \quad \varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_m\in\{1,*\}, \quad m\geqslant 1$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

If a_1, a_2, \ldots are free elements of \mathcal{A} with same \star -law

- *-algebra \mathcal{A} with involution * and tracial state τ ■ Example: $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \cdot^* = \overline{\cdot}^\top, \tau = \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}$
- *-law of $a \in \mathcal{A}$ = mixed moments in a and a*:

$$au(a^{\varepsilon_1}\cdots a^{\varepsilon_m}), \quad \varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_m\in\{1,*\}, \quad m\geqslant 1$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

If a_1, a_2, \ldots are free elements of \mathcal{A} with same \star -law with $\tau(a) = 0$ and $\operatorname{cov}(\frac{1}{2}(a + a^*), \frac{1}{2i}(a - a^*)) = I_2$

- \blacksquare *-algebra $\mathcal A$ with involution \ast and tracial state τ
- **Example:** $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \cdot^* = \overline{\cdot}^\top, \tau = \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{Tr}$
- \star -law of $a \in \mathcal{A}$ = mixed moments in a and a^* :

$$au(a^{\varepsilon_1}\cdots a^{\varepsilon_m}), \quad \varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_m\in\{1,*\}, \quad m\geqslant 1$$

- If a_1, a_2, \ldots are free elements of \mathcal{A} with same \star -law
- with $\tau(a) = 0$ and $cov(\frac{1}{2}(a + a^*), \frac{1}{2i}(a a^*)) = I_2$
- Then: (Free Central Limit Theorem, Voiculescu 1990)

$$\frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{\star} c$$

where $c := w_1 + iw_2$ with w_1, w_2 free semicircle elements.

- \blacksquare *-algebra $\mathcal A$ with involution * and tracial state τ
- **Example:** $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \cdot^* = \overline{\cdot}^\top, \tau = \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{Tr}$
- \star -law of $a \in \mathcal{A}$ = mixed moments in a and a^* :

$$au(a^{\varepsilon_1}\cdots a^{\varepsilon_m}), \quad \varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_m\in\{1,*\}, \quad m\geqslant 1$$

- If a_1, a_2, \ldots are free elements of \mathcal{A} with same \star -law
- with $\tau(a) = 0$ and $\operatorname{cov}(\frac{1}{2}(a + a^*), \frac{1}{2i}(a a^*)) = I_2$
- Then: (Free Central Limit Theorem, Voiculescu 1990)

$$\frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{\star} c$$

where $c := w_1 + iw_2$ with w_1, w_2 free semicircle elements.

Boltzmann and Shlyakhtenko, Brown and Śniady

Markov polytope (Bordenave-Caputo-C. 2010)

$$\sqrt{n}D^{-1}X$$
 where $D_{ii} = X_{i1} + \cdots + X_{in}$

◆ロ ▶ ◆昼 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ● の Q @

Markov polytope (Bordenave-Caputo-C. 2010)

$$\sqrt{n}D^{-1}X$$
 where $D_{ii} = X_{i1} + \cdots + X_{in}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ●

Matrices with iid log-concave rows (Adamzack 2011)

Markov polytope (Bordenave-Caputo-C. 2010)

$$\sqrt{n}D^{-1}X$$
 where $D_{ii} = X_{i1} + \cdots + X_{in}$

Matrices with iid log-concave rows (Adamzack 2011)Matrices with given row sum (Tao, Nguyen-Vu 2012)

Markov polytope (Bordenave-Caputo-C. 2010)

$$\sqrt{n}D^{-1}X$$
 where $D_{ii} = X_{i1} + \cdots + X_{in}$

Matrices with iid log-concave rows (Adamzack 2011)

- Matrices with given row sum (Tao, Nguyen-Vu 2012)
- Birkhoff polytope (Nguyen 2012)

Markov polytope (Bordenave-Caputo-C. 2010)

$$\sqrt{n}D^{-1}X$$
 where $D_{ii} = X_{i1} + \cdots + X_{in}$

Matrices with iid log-concave rows (Adamzack 2011)

- Matrices with given row sum (Tao, Nguyen-Vu 2012)
- Birkhoff polytope (Nguyen 2012)
- Matrices with log-concave uncond. law (Adam.-C. 2013)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

Markov polytope (Bordenave-Caputo-C. 2010)

$$\sqrt{n}D^{-1}X$$
 where $D_{ii} = X_{i1} + \cdots + X_{in}$

Matrices with iid log-concave rows (Adamzack 2011)

- Matrices with given row sum (Tao, Nguyen-Vu 2012)
- Birkhoff polytope (Nguyen 2012)
- Matrices with log-concave uncond. law (Adam.-C. 2013)
- Weyl random polynomials (Kabluchko-Zaporozhets 2012)

$$P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\xi_k}{\sqrt{k!}} z^k$$

Beyond the circular law

Beyond the circular law: infinite variance (1/3)

 $\mathbb{P}(|X_{11}| > t) \sim t^{-lpha}, \quad \mathbf{0} < lpha < \mathbf{2}$

Beyond the circular law: infinite variance (1/3)

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_{11}| > t) \sim t^{-lpha}, \quad \mathbf{0} < lpha < \mathbf{2}$$

Theorem (Bordenave-Caputo-C. 2011)

If
$$\mathbb{P}(|X_{11}| > t) \sim t^{-lpha}$$
 then $\mu_{rac{1}{n^{lpha}}X} o \mu_{lpha}.$

Beyond the circular law: infinite variance (1/3)

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_{11}| > t) \sim t^{-lpha}, \quad \mathbf{0} < lpha < \mathbf{2}$$

Theorem (Bordenave-Caputo-C. 2011)

If $\mathbb{P}(|X_{11}| > t) \sim t^{-lpha}$ then $\mu_{rac{1}{n^{lpha}}X} o \mu_{lpha}.$



Beyond the circular law: random generators (2/3)

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(X-D)$$
 with $D_{ii}=X_{11}+\cdots+X_{nn}$

Beyond the circular law: random generators (2/3)

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(X-D) \quad \text{with} \quad D_{ii} = X_{11} + \dots + X_{nn}$$

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 - のへで

Theorem (Bordenave-Caputo-C. 2012)

If $K = \operatorname{Cov}(X_{11})$ then $\mu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(X-D)} \to \mu_{c \boxplus g_{\kappa}}$.

Beyond the circular law: random generators (2/3)

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(X-D) \quad \text{with} \quad D_{ii} = X_{11} + \dots + X_{nn}$$

Theorem (Bordenave-Caputo-C. 2012)

If
$$K = \operatorname{Cov}(X_{11})$$
 then $\mu_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(X-D)} \to \mu_{c \boxplus g_K}$.



Beyond the circular law

Beyond the circular law: random graphs (3/3)

■ Uniform law on {*n* vertices oriented *d*-regular graphs}

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 - のへで

■ Uniform law on {*n* vertices oriented *d*-regular graphs}

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Random adjacency matrix X with {0, 1} entries

- Uniform law on {n vertices oriented d-regular graphs}
- Random adjacency matrix X with {0, 1} entries
- Oriented Kesten-McKay conjecture:

$$\mu_X \underset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \frac{d^2(d-1)}{\pi (d^2 - |z|^2)^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|z| < \sqrt{d}\}} dx dy$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

- Uniform law on {n vertices oriented d-regular graphs}
- Random adjacency matrix X with {0, 1} entries
- Oriented Kesten-McKay conjecture:

$$\mu_X \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} \frac{d^2(d-1)}{\pi (d^2-|z|^2)^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|z|<\sqrt{d}\}} dxdy$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Brown measure of $U_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus U_d$ (Haagerup-Larsen)

- Uniform law on {n vertices oriented d-regular graphs}
- Random adjacency matrix X with {0, 1} entries
- Oriented Kesten-McKay conjecture:

$$\mu_X \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \frac{d^2(d-1)}{\pi (d^2 - |z|^2)^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|z| < \sqrt{d}\}} dx dy$$

- Brown measure of $U_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus U_d$ (Haagerup-Larsen)
- \blacksquare We recover the circular law when $d
 ightarrow \infty$

- Uniform law on {n vertices oriented d-regular graphs}
- Random adjacency matrix X with {0, 1} entries
- Oriented Kesten-McKay conjecture:

$$\mu_X \underset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \frac{d^2(d-1)}{\pi (d^2 - |z|^2)^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|z| < \sqrt{d}\}} dx dy$$

- Brown measure of $U_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus U_d$ (Haagerup-Larsen)
- \blacksquare We recover the circular law when $d
 ightarrow \infty$
- Progresses (2012): Rudelson-Vershynin, Basak-Dembo

Beyond the circular law

Thank you!