

Estimation of High-Dimensional Low Rank Matrices

Alexandre Tsybakov (joint work with Angelika Rohde)

Laboratoire de Statistique, CREST

Marne-la-Vallée, May 18, 2010

Trace Regression Model

► We observe $(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, \dots, N$ such that

$$Y_i = \text{trace}(X_i' A^*) + \xi_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

ξ_i i.i.d. random errors, $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times T}$ known, $A^* \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times T}$ **unknown**

► **Problems:**

- estimation of A^* ;
- prediction = estimation of $X \mapsto \text{trace}(X' A^*)$.

► **Focus on:**

- High-dimensional setting: $mT \gg N$.
- A^* is a matrix of small rank, $r = \text{rank}(A^*) \ll \min(m, T)$.
- Sparse matrices X_i (**masks**): few non-zero entries.

Examples: 1. Point masks.

$$X_i \in \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^d e_{k_j}(m) e'_{l_j}(T) : 1 \leq k_j \leq m, 1 \leq l_j \leq T \right\},$$

$e_k(m)$'s the canonical basis vectors in \mathbb{R}^m .

▶ $d = 1$: **Matrix Completion Problem**. Suppose we observe only $N \ll mT$ entries of matrix $A^* \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times T}$ with/without noise

→ can we guess the many other entries?

▶ **Applications**: Recommendation systems, e.g., Netflix; dimension $mT \sim 10^9$, $N \sim 10^7$.

▶ **Role of the rank**: Let $m = T \Rightarrow$ completion impossible if $N < (2m - r)r$, where $r = \text{rank}(A^*)$

► Two cases of matrix completion:

- **USR matrix completion** = Uniform Sampling at Random; masks X_i i.i.d. uniformly distributed on the set

$$\{e_k(m)e_l'(T) : 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq T\} .$$

Non-noisy case: Candès/Recht (2008), Candès/Tao (2009).

- **Collaborative filtering**. Random or deterministic masks X_i , which are all **distinct**.

Examples: 2. Column or row masks

▶ **Multi-task learning** = longitudinal (or panel, or cross-section) data analysis

▶ $N = nT$ where T number of tasks; n number of observations per task.

▶ Vectors of parameters $a_t^* \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $t = 1, \dots, T$ for tasks,

$$A^* = (a_1^* \cdots a_T^*).$$

▶ X_i 's are **column masks**, only one non-zero column $\mathbf{x}^{(t,s)} \in \mathbb{R}^m$:

$$X_i \in \{(\mathbf{0} \cdots \mathbf{0} \underbrace{\mathbf{x}^{(t,s)}}_t \mathbf{0} \cdots \mathbf{0}), t = 1, \dots, T, s = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

▶ Column $\mathbf{x}^{(t,s)}$ = the vector of predictor variables corresponding to s th observation for the t th task.

Thus, for each $i = 1, \dots, N$ there exists a pair (t, s) with $t = 1, \dots, T$, $s = 1, \dots, n$, such that

$$\text{trace}(X_i' A^*) = (a_t^*)' \mathbf{x}^{(t,s)}.$$

If we denote by $Y^{(t,s)}$ and $\xi^{(t,s)}$ the corresponding values Y_i and ξ_i , our trace regression model can be written as a collection of T standard vector regression models:

$$Y^{(t,s)} = (a_t^*)' \mathbf{x}^{(t,s)} + \xi^{(t,s)}, \quad t = 1, \dots, T, \quad s = 1, \dots, n.$$

(Usual formulation of multi-task learning model.)

- ▶ Suppose $A^* = (a_1^* \cdots a_T^*)$ has **small rank** \equiv "tasks are related".
- ▶ **Problems**: estimation of A^* , prediction.

Examples: 3. "Complete" matrices X_i

- ▶ All the entries of X_i are i.i.d. Rademacher or Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.
- ▶ X_i are no longer **masks**.
- ▶ **Computationally hard** when mT is large, e.g., $mT \sim 10^9$.
- ▶ Our results cover this case but it is not of our main interest.
- ▶ Parallel work on this case: Negahban/Wainwright (2009) with $N \gg mT$; Candès/Plan (2010). Without noise: Recht/al. (2007).

Our aim is to construct estimators \hat{A} of matrix A^* such that the following distance measures are small with probability close to 1:

► **Prediction loss** $d^2(\hat{A}, A^*) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{trace}^2((\hat{A} - A^*)' X_i)$

► **Schatten- q loss** $\|\hat{A} - A^*\|_{S_q}^q$

$\|\cdot\|_{S_q}$ denotes Schatten- q (quasi-)norm

$$\|A\|_{S_q} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m \wedge T} \sigma_j(A)^q \right)^{1/q}, \quad q > 0,$$

with $\sigma_j(A)$'s singular values of matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times T}$.

Prototype reference: Vector estimation and Lasso

- ▶ We observe $(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, \dots, N$, such that

$$Y_i = X_i' \beta + \xi_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

$$X_i \in \mathbb{R}^p, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^p, \xi_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

- ▶ High-dimensional setting: $p \gg N$.
- ▶ **Sparsity index** s of β = number of non-zero components of β is small;

$$s = |\beta|_0 = \sum_{j=1}^p I\{i : \beta_j \neq 0\} \ll p.$$

- ▶ vector case: LASSO estimator

$$\hat{\beta} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left\{ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (Y_i - X_i' \beta)^2 + \lambda |\beta|_1 \right\},$$

$|\beta|_1 = \ell_1$ -norm of β , $\lambda > 0$ tuning parameter.

- ▶ matrix case: Schatten-1 estimator

$$\hat{A} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times T}} \left\{ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(Y_i - \operatorname{trace}(X_i' A) \right)^2 + \lambda \|A\|_{S_1} \right\}.$$

- ▶ penalized least squares with Schatten (quasi-)norm penalty

motivation: shrinkage towards low-rank representations

► vector case: LASSO estimator

$$\hat{\beta} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left\{ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (Y_i - X_i' \beta)^2 + \lambda |\beta|_1 \right\},$$

$|\beta|_1 = \ell_1$ -norm of β , $\lambda > 0$ tuning parameter.

► matrix case: Schatten- p estimator

$$\hat{A} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times T}} \left\{ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(Y_i - \operatorname{trace}(X_i' A) \right)^2 + \lambda \|A\|_{S_p}^p \right\}, \quad 0 < p \leq 1.$$

► penalized least squares with Schatten (quasi-)norm penalty

motivation: shrinkage towards low-rank representations

Sparsity Oracle Inequalities – Vector Case

Prediction loss: $d^2(\hat{\beta}, \beta) = \frac{1}{N} |\mathbf{X}(\hat{\beta} - \beta)|_2^2$,

$\mathbf{X} = (X_{ji})_{1 \leq i \leq N; 1 \leq j \leq p}$, and $|\cdot|_q, q \geq 1$, is the ℓ_q norm.

Theorem (Bickel, Ritov and T., 2009, Rigollet and T., 2010)

Consider the Lasso estimator $\hat{\beta}$ with $\lambda = A\sqrt{\frac{\log p}{N}}, A > 2\sqrt{2}$.

Then with probability at least $1 - p^{1-A^2/8}$, under the **RI condition**,

$$d^2(\hat{\beta}, \beta) \leq C \left(\frac{s \log p}{N} \right), s = |\beta|_0, \text{ "FAST" rate,}$$

and, under **NO assumption on \mathbf{X}** ,

$$d^2(\hat{\beta}, \beta) \leq C |\beta|_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{N}} \text{ "SLOW" rate.}$$

Sparsity Oracle Inequalities – Matrix Case???

► Investigate two possibilities:

- (i) "Fast" rates scheme. Here we need some strong conditions, such as matrix analogs of RI assumption.
- (ii) "Slow" rates scheme. We need essentially no assumption on the masks but some mild assumptions on the Schatten norm of A^* .

► **The outcome is surprising:**

- (i) "Fast" rates scheme (i.e., using RI) essentially fails when we deal with very sparse masks X_i .
- (ii) "Slow" rates scheme leads to the rates which are **NOT** slow if matrices X_i are very sparse!

► Schatten- p estimator:

$$\hat{A} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times T}} \left\{ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \left(Y_j - \operatorname{trace}(X_j' A) \right)^2 + \lambda \|A\|_{S_p}^p \right\}, \quad p \leq 1$$

► Prediction loss:

$$d^2(\hat{A}, A^*) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \operatorname{trace}^2((\hat{A} - A^*)' X_i)$$

► Basic inequality

$$d^2(\hat{A}, A^*) \leq \underbrace{2 \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i \operatorname{trace}((\hat{A} - A^*)' X_i)}_{\text{"stochastic term"}} + \lambda \left(\|A^*\|_{S_p}^p - \|\hat{A}\|_{S_p}^p \right)$$

Lemma

Under appropriate assumptions, with probability $\geq 1 - \exp(-C(m + T))$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i \text{trace}((\hat{A} - A^*)' X_i) \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{2} I_{\{0 < p < 1\}} d^2(\hat{A}, A^*) + \tau \delta^{p-1} \|\hat{A} - A^*\|_{S_p}^p,$$

for all $\delta > 0$, where $0 < \tau < \infty$ is an explicitly given parameter (m, T, N) .

Difficulty: requires some new tools, e.g., ϵ -entropy of the (non-convex) Schatten- p ball $\{A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} : \|A\|_{S_p} \leq 1\}$, $p < 1$, in the Frobenius norm, with explicit dependence on p

$\tau =$ "EFFECTIVE NOISE LEVEL";

Choose $\lambda = 4\tau$

Examples of "noise levels" τ (Gaussian ξ_i)

Assumptions on X_i	Assumptions on N, m, T, p	"Noise levels" τ
Unif. bounded \mathcal{L}	$p = 1$	$c \left(\frac{m+T}{N}\right)^{1/2}$
Unif. bounded \mathcal{L}	$0 < p < 1, m = T$	$c(p) \left(\frac{m}{N}\right)^{1-p/2}$
USR matrix compl.	$p = 1, (m+T)mT > N$	$c \frac{m+T}{N}$
Collab. filtering	$p = 1$	$c \frac{(m+T)^{1/2}}{N}$

The sampling operator $\mathcal{L} : A \mapsto (\text{trace}(X_1' A), \dots, \text{trace}(X_N' A)) / \sqrt{N}$ is **uniformly bounded** if there exists a constant $c_0 < \infty$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{L}(A)\|_2^2 \leq c_0 \|A\|_{S_2}^2$$

for all matrices $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times T}$ where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^N .

**We first explore the "Slow rates" scheme:
without Restricted Isometry**

"Slow rates" scheme

- ▶ Basic inequality + Lemma, setting $\delta = 1/2$ and $\lambda = 4\tau$:

$$d^2(\widehat{A}, A^*) \leq 8\tau \left(\|\widehat{A} - A^*\|_{S_p}^p + \|A^*\|_{S_p}^p - \|\widehat{A}\|_{S_p}^p \right) \leq 16\tau \|A^*\|_{S_p}^p$$

since $\|A + B\|_{S_p}^p \leq \|A\|_{S_p}^p + \|B\|_{S_p}^p$, $p \leq 1$.

Theorem (Sparsity Oracle Inequality – "Slow rates" scheme)

Let $0 < p \leq 1$, $\lambda = 4\tau$. Then, for cases listed in the table above,

$$d^2(\widehat{A}, A^*) \leq 16\tau \|A^*\|_{S_p}^p,$$

with probability $\geq 1 - \exp(-C(m + T))$ where $C > 0$ is independent of N, m, T .

Remarks

- ▶ The rate is faster for smaller p in the penalty.
- ▶ If $\sigma_1(A^*) \leq C$ we have the bound

$$d^2(\widehat{A}, A^*) \leq Cr\tau.$$

So, the rates are **FAST** or **VERY FAST**:

- for **uniformly bounded sampling operator** with $m = T$,
 $p = (\log(N/m))^{-1}$:

$$d^2(\widehat{A}, A^*) \sim \frac{rm}{N} \log\left(\frac{N}{m}\right),$$

- for **USR matrix completion** with $p = 1$:

$$d^2(\widehat{A}, A^*) \sim \frac{r(m+T)}{N},$$

- for **collaborative filtering** with $p = 1$:

$$d^2(\widehat{A}, A^*) \sim \frac{r(m+T)^{1/2}}{N}.$$

Rate heuristics for prediction loss: Square matrix case

- ▶ $A^* \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and $\text{rank}(A^*) = r$
 $\Rightarrow (2m - r)r$ free parameters

$$r \ll m \Rightarrow \text{intrinsic dimension} \sim rm$$

$$\text{Rate} = \frac{\text{intrinsic dimension}}{\text{sample size}} \sim \frac{rm}{N} \left(\ll \frac{m^2}{N} \right)$$

- ▶ For USR matrix completion setting we achieve the optimal rate heuristics using the "slow rate" argument if the maximal singular value of A^* is uniformly bounded.
- ▶ Collaborative filtering leads to even **faster convergence rates** as compared to USR matrix completion.
- ▶ On the difference from the vector problems, the **log-factor** is can be avoided in the rates if the maximal singular value is uniformly bounded.
- ▶ Another difference is that the concentration is exponential and not polynomial in the dimension.

**We now turn to "Fast rates" scheme:
with Restricted Isometry**

Restricted Isometry: Vector versus Matrix

► **Vector case.** Restricted Isometry: $\exists 0 < \delta_s < 1$ such that

$$(1 - \delta_s) |\beta|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} |\mathbf{X}\beta|_2 \leq (1 + \delta_s) |\beta|_2$$

for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ with sparsity index $|\beta|_0 \leq s$.

► **Matrix case.** Restricted Isometry $\text{RI}(r, \nu)$ condition:
 $\exists 0 < \delta_r < 1$ such that

$$(1 - \delta_r) \|A\|_{S_2} \leq \nu \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{trace}^2(A' X_i) \right)^{1/2} \leq (1 + \delta_r) \|A\|_{S_2}$$

for all $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times T}$ with $\text{rank}(A) \leq r$. **Scaling factor ν .**

Examples.

- 1 **USR matrix completion.** Point masks. The scaling constant in matrix version of Restricted Isometry is

$$\nu \sim \sqrt{mT}.$$

But we can only achieve it if $N > mT$

⇒ "matrix completion catastrophe", see below...

- 2 **Multi-task learning.** Column masks. The scaling constant is

$$\nu \sim \sqrt{T}.$$

- 3 "Complete" matrices X_i . All Gaussian or Rademacher entries. Restricted isometry with scaling constant

$$\nu = 1,$$

cf. Recht et al. (2007).

Assumptions on X_i	Assumptions on N, m, T, p	"Noise levels" τ
Unif. bounded \mathcal{L}	$p = 1$	$c \left(\frac{m+T}{N}\right)^{1/2}$
Unif. bounded \mathcal{L}	$0 < p < 1, m = T$	$c(p) \left(\frac{m}{N}\right)^{1-p/2}$

Theorem (Sparsity Oracle Inequality – "Fast" scheme: with RI)

Let $\text{rank}(A^*) \leq r$. Assume the RI (br, ν) condition with a sufficiently large $b = b(p)$ and some $0 < \nu < \infty$. Let the sampling operator \mathcal{L} be uniformly bounded. Then, for the Schatten- p estimator \hat{A} with $\lambda = 4\tau$, with τ as in the table above we have

$$d^2(\hat{A}, A^*) \leq Cr_T^{\frac{2}{2-p}} \nu^{\frac{2p}{2-p}},$$

$$\|\hat{A} - A^*\|_{S_q}^q \leq Cr_T^{\frac{q}{2-p}} \nu^{\frac{2q}{2-p}}, \quad \forall q \in [p, 2],$$

with probability $\geq 1 - \exp(-C(m+T))$ where $C > 0$ is independent of N, m, T .

Remarks

- ▶ "Complete" matrices X_j . Then $\nu = 1$. If also $p = 1$, we have the bound

$$d^2(\widehat{A}, A^*) \leq Cr\tau^2 \sim \frac{r(m+T)}{N}.$$

Same for the Frobenius norm. This is the optimal rate.

- ▶ **USR matrix completion**: no Restricted Isometry if $mT \gg N$. The RI scheme does not apply.

Example: USR matrix completion

X_i point masks which are i.i.d. and uniformly distributed on

$$\left\{ e_k(m) e_l'(T) : 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq T \right\}.$$

Set $\delta_{kl}^{(i)} = I_{\{X_i = e_k(m) e_l'(T)\}}$. Then $\forall A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times T}$:

$$\frac{mT}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{tr}^2(X_i' A) = \frac{mT}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k,l} a_{kl}^2 \delta_{kl}^{(i)} = \sum_{k,l} a_{kl}^2 \left(\frac{mT}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{kl}^{(i)} \right).$$

But $\mathbf{E} \left(\frac{mT}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{kl}^{(i)} \right) = 1$ for all k, l , and $\sum_{k,l} a_{kl}^2 = \|A\|_{S_2}^2$.

► \Rightarrow the RI condition, if it holds, should be naturally scaled by $\nu = \sqrt{mT}$, a very large value.

Matrix completion: the RI catastrophe

$$\frac{mT}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{trace}^2(X_i^T A) = \sum_{k,l} a_{kl}^2 \left(\frac{mT}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{kl}^{(i)} \right).$$

$$\mathbf{E} \left(\frac{mT}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{kl}^{(i)} \right) = 1 \text{ for all } k, l, \text{ and } \sum_{k,l} a_{kl}^2 = \|A\|_{S_2}^2.$$

► Since $\delta_{kl}^{(i)}$ are i.i.d. Bernoulli($1/(mT)$),

$\text{Var} \left(\frac{mT}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{kl}^{(i)} \right) \sim \frac{mT}{N} \Rightarrow$ RI condition requires $mT < N!$

\Rightarrow nothing can be done under the requirement $mT \gg N$ which is intrinsic for matrix completion problems.

\Rightarrow Restricted isometry not adapted to problems with sparse masks

Theorem (Matrix completion, I)

Let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_N be i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ random variables, and assume that $m = T > 1$, $N > em$ and that X_i are i.i.d. uniformly distributed on

$$\left\{ e_k(m) e_l'(T) : 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq T \right\}.$$

Let $A^* \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ with $\text{rank}(A^*) \leq r$ and the maximal singular value $\sigma_1(A^*) \leq (N/m)^{C^*}$ for some $0 < C^* < \infty$. Set

$$p = (\log(N/m))^{-1}.$$

Then, $\forall \vartheta \geq c^2$ with a universal constant $c > 0$, for a proper choice of $\lambda = \lambda(\vartheta)$, the Schatten- p estimator \hat{A} satisfies:

$$d(\hat{A}, A^*)^2 \leq C\vartheta \frac{rm}{N} \log \left(\frac{N}{m} \right)$$

with probability $\geq 1 - c \exp(-\vartheta m/c^2)$ for some $c > 0$.

Theorem (Matrix completion, II)

Let ξ_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, with

$$\mathbf{E}|\xi_i|^l \leq \frac{1}{2} l! \sigma^2 H^{l-2}, \quad l = 2, 3, \dots,$$

with some finite constants σ and H . Assume that $mT(m+T) > N$ and that the X_i are point masks, which are iid uniformly distributed on

$$\left\{ e_k(m) e_l'(T) : 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq T \right\}$$

and independent from ξ_1, \dots, ξ_N . Then with an appropriate choice of $\lambda = \lambda(m, T, N, \sigma, H)$, the Schatten-1 estimator \hat{A} satisfies

$$d(\hat{A}, A^*)^2 \leq 16 \bar{C} \|A^*\|_{S_1} \frac{m+T}{N}$$

with probability at least $1 - 4 \exp\{-(2 - \log 5)(m+T)\}$, where $\bar{C} = \bar{C}(\sigma, H)$.

Theorem (Matrix completion, III)

Let ξ_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, iid $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$. Consider the problem of collaborative filtering (i.e. N different point masks). Then the Schatten-1 estimator \hat{A} with $\lambda = \lambda(m, T, N, \sigma)$ satisfies

$$d(\hat{A}, A^*)^2 \leq 256 \|A^*\|_{S_1} \frac{\sqrt{m+T}}{N}$$

with probability at least $1 - 2 \exp\{-(4 - \log 5)(m + T)\}$.

- ▶ collaborative filtering leads to **faster convergence rates** as compared to USR matrix completion setting
- ▶ the **log-factor** is **avoidable** for uniformly bounded maximal singular value

Theorem (Multi-task learning)

Let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_N be i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ random variables, and assume that $m = T > 1$, $n > e \log m$. Consider the multi-task learning problem with $A^* \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $\text{rank}(A^*) \leq r$ and the maximal singular value $\sigma_1(A^*) \leq (n/\log m)^{C^*}$ for some $0 < C^* < \infty$. Assume that the spectra of the task Gram matrices Ψ_t are uniformly in t bounded from above by a $c_0 T$ where $c_0 < \infty$. Set

$$p = (\log n - \log \log m)^{-1}.$$

Then, $\forall \vartheta \geq 1$, for a proper choice of $\lambda = \lambda(\vartheta)$, the Schatten- p estimator \widehat{A} satisfies:

$$d(\widehat{A}, A^*)^2 \leq C \vartheta \frac{r}{n} \log \left(\frac{n}{\log m} \right) \log m$$

with probability $\geq 1 - C m^{-\vartheta/C^2}$ for some $C > 0$.

Matrix versus Vector Sparsity

▶ linear dependence on $\text{rank}(A^*)$

~ linear dependence on sparsity index s

▶ (at least) linear dependence on m

⋈ logarithmic dependence on p

► **impossible** to recover **all** low-rank matrices

(counter-) example: $e_i e_j'$, with e_i 's the canonical unit vectors

► **possible** to recover **most** of them?

Theorem (Candès & Tao 2009)

In the non-noisy setting ($\xi_i \equiv 0$), under the strong incoherence condition (SIC), exact recovery is possible with high probability for

$$N > C r m (\log m)^6, \quad r = \text{rank}(A^*),$$

observed entries with locations uniformly sampled at random.

Heuristics:

SIC ensures that the singular vectors of A^* are sufficiently "spread out" or "incoherent"

Matrix completion is possible by **convex programming**:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize } \|A\|_{S_1} \\ & \text{subject to } Y_i = \text{trace}(X_i' A), \quad i = 1, \dots, N \end{aligned}$$

► $\|\cdot\|_{S_p}$ denotes Schatten-p (quasi-)norm

$$\|A\|_{S_p} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \sigma_j(A)^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad p > 0,$$

$\sigma_i(A)$'s singular values of A

► Equivalent: $y_{ij}, (i, j) \in \Omega \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}^2$ observed entries

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize } \|A\|_{S_1} \\ & \text{subject to } a_{ij} = y_{ij}, \quad (i, j) \in \Omega \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Candès and Recht (2008), Candès and Tao (2009)
 - focus on exact recovery
 - ▶ Candès and Plan (2009)
 - same setting in the presence of noise,
proposed estimators \hat{A} of A^* and evaluated $\|\hat{A} - A^*\|_{S_2}$
 - establish bounds on $\|\hat{A} - A^*\|_{S_2}^2$ of order m^3
when $A^* \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and the noise is Gaussian
 - argued that even the oracle cannot achieve better rate in
the Frobenius norm than rm^3/N , which is rather pessimistic
- ⇒ Nothing reasonable can be achieved for the Frobenius norm
in the matrix completion problem

Sparsity for Matrices (Two notions of matrix sparsity)

- ▶ small number of non-zero entries
 - Meinshausen and Bühlmann (2006) (in view of inverse covariance matrices and graphical models)
 - Bickel and Lewina (2008) (banded covariance matrices)
 - Wainwright, Yu (2008), ...
- ▶ newly introduced in the framework of matrix completion:
 - sparsity quantified by the rank (Recht et al. 2007)
sparse matrix = small rank matrix
 - Negahban and Wainwright (2009), Candès and Plan (2010)
(using restricted isometry of sampling operator)
- ▶ We assume:
 - masks X_i have small number of non-zero entries
 - A^* is of small rank, $\text{rank}(A^*) \ll m$