

On the Central Limit Theorem for the linear eigenvalue statistics of the sum of independent matrices of rank one

Anna Lytova

University of Alberta, Canada

January 7, 2015

Intro. General Settings

$$M_n = M_{m,n} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^T$$

- $m = m_n : m_n/n \rightarrow c \in (0, \infty), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$
- $\tau_{\alpha} > 0, \sigma_m(\Delta) = \#\{\tau_{\alpha} \in \Delta\}/m: \quad \sigma_m \rightarrow \sigma$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- $\mathbf{y}_{\alpha} = (y_{\alpha 1}, \dots, y_{\alpha n})^T \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha = 1, \dots, m$ - i.i.d. random vectors

Intro. General Settings

$$M_n = M_{m,n} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^T$$

- $m = m_n : m_n/n \rightarrow c \in (0, \infty), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$
- $\tau_{\alpha} > 0, \sigma_m(\Delta) = \#\{\tau_{\alpha} \in \Delta\}/m: \quad \sigma_m \rightarrow \sigma$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- $\mathbf{y}_{\alpha} = (y_{\alpha 1}, \dots, y_{\alpha n})^T \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha = 1, \dots, m$ - i.i.d. random vectors

$$M_n = B_{m,n} D_m B_{m,n}^T$$

$$B_{m,n} = (\mathbf{y}_1 \ \mathbf{y}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{y}_m), \quad D_m = \{\tau_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta=1}^m$$

Intro. Some Definitions

Normalized Counting Measure (NCM) of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i^{(n)}\}_{i=1}^n$ of M_n :

$$N_n(\Delta) = \#\{\lambda_i^{(n)} \in \Delta\}/n$$

Linear Eigenvalue Statistic for a given test-function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$:

$$\mathcal{N}_n[\varphi] := \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi(\lambda_j^{(n)}) = \text{Tr}\varphi(M_n)$$

Intro. Some Definitions

Normalized Counting Measure (NCM) of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i^{(n)}\}_{i=1}^n$ of M_n :

$$N_n(\Delta) = \#\{\lambda_i^{(n)} \in \Delta\}/n$$

Linear Eigenvalue Statistic for a given test-function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$:

$$\mathcal{N}_n[\varphi] := \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi(\lambda_j^{(n)}) = \text{Tr} \varphi(M_n)$$

Stieltjes transform of N_n :

$$s_n(z) = \int \frac{N_n(d\lambda)}{\lambda - z}, \quad \text{Im } z \neq 0$$

Let us note that

$$s_n(z) = n^{-1} \text{Tr} G(z),$$

where $G(z) = (M_n - zI)^{-1}$ is the resolvent of M_n .

Intro. Convergence of NCM

Theorem (A. Marchenko, L. Pastur (1967)) Let $M_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^T$, where

- $m = m_n : m_n/n \rightarrow c \in (0, \infty), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$
- $\tau_{\alpha} > 0, \sigma_m(\Delta) = \#\{\tau_{\alpha} \in \Delta\}/m: \sigma_m \rightarrow \sigma$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty,$
- $\mathbf{y}_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha = 1, \dots, m$ are independent uniformly distributed on the unit sphere random vectors.

Then there exists a non-random probability measure N s.t. we have convergence in probability

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n(\Delta) = N(\Delta), \quad \forall \Delta \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

The Stieltjes transform of N ,

$$s(z) = \int \frac{N(d\lambda)}{\lambda - z}, \quad \text{Im } z \neq 0,$$

is uniquely determined by the functional equation

$$zs(z) = c - 1 - c \int (1 + \tau s(z))^{-1} \sigma(d\tau)$$

considered in the class of functions analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and such that $\text{Im } z \text{ Im } f(z) \geq 0, \text{ Im } z \neq 0.$

Intro. Convergence of NCM

Theorem (A. Pajor, L. Pastur (2007)) The Marchenko-Pastur theorem remains valid if $M_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^T$, where $\{\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^m$ are i.i.d. copies of \mathbf{y} :

-

$$\mathbf{E}\{y_j\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}\{y_i y_j\} = \delta_{ij} n^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

- for any $n \times n$ complex matrix A_n , which does not depend on \mathbf{y} ,

$$\mathbf{Var}\{(A_n \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})\} \leq \|A_n\|^2 \delta_n, \quad \delta_n = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2)$$

Intro. Convergence of NCM

Theorem (A. Pajor, L. Pastur (2007)) The Marchenko-Pastur theorem remains valid if $M_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^T$, where $\{\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^m$ are i.i.d. copies of \mathbf{y} :

-

$$\mathbf{E}\{y_j\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}\{y_i y_j\} = \delta_{ij} n^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

- for any $n \times n$ complex matrix A_n , which does not depend on \mathbf{y} ,

$$\mathbf{Var}\{(A_n \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})\} \leq \|A_n\|^2 \delta_n, \quad \delta_n = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2)$$

Remark Condition (2) can be replaced with

$$\mathbf{E}\{|(A_n \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})^{\circ}|\} \leq \|A_n\| \delta_n, \quad \delta_n = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\xi^{\circ} = \xi - \mathbf{E}\{\xi\}$.

Intro. Convergence of NCM

Theorem (A. Pajor, L. Pastur (2007)) The Marchenko-Pastur theorem remains valid if $M_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^T$, where $\{\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^m$ are i.i.d. copies of \mathbf{y} :

-

$$\mathbf{E}\{y_j\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}\{y_i y_j\} = \delta_{ij} n^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

- for any $n \times n$ complex matrix A_n , which does not depend on \mathbf{y} ,

$$\mathbf{Var}\{(A_n \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})\} \leq \|A_n\|^2 \delta_n, \quad \delta_n = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2)$$

Remark Condition (2) can be replaced with

$$\mathbf{E}\{|(A_n \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})^{\circ}|\} \leq \|A_n\| \delta_n, \quad \delta_n = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\xi^{\circ} = \xi - \mathbf{E}\{\xi\}$.

Remark If \mathbf{y} satisfies (1) and A_n does not depend on \mathbf{y} , then

$$\mathbf{E}\{(A_n \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})\} = n^{-1} \text{Tr} A_n.$$

Intro. Main steps of the proof

$$M_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^T, \quad G(z) = (M_n - zI)^{-1}, \quad s_n(z) = n^{-1} \text{Tr} G(z),$$

Intro. Main steps of the proof

$$M_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^T, \quad G(z) = (M_n - zI)^{-1}, \quad s_n(z) = n^{-1} \text{Tr} G(z),$$

$$M_n^{\alpha} = M_n |_{\tau_{\alpha}=0}, \quad G^{\alpha}(z) = (M_n^{\alpha} - zI)^{-1}, \quad s_n^{\alpha}(z) = n^{-1} \text{Tr} G^{\alpha}(z)$$

Intro. Main steps of the proof

$$M_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^T, \quad G(z) = (M_n - zI)^{-1}, \quad s_n(z) = n^{-1} \text{Tr} G(z),$$

$$M_n^{\alpha} = M_n |_{\tau_{\alpha}=0}, \quad G^{\alpha}(z) = (M_n^{\alpha} - zI)^{-1}, \quad s_n^{\alpha}(z) = n^{-1} \text{Tr} G^{\alpha}(z)$$

$$s_n \longrightarrow s?$$

Intro. Main steps of the proof

$$M_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^T, \quad G(z) = (M_n - zI)^{-1}, \quad s_n(z) = n^{-1} \text{Tr} G(z),$$

$$M_n^{\alpha} = M_n |_{\tau_{\alpha}=0}, \quad G^{\alpha}(z) = (M_n^{\alpha} - zI)^{-1}, \quad s_n^{\alpha}(z) = n^{-1} \text{Tr} G^{\alpha}(z)$$

$$s_n \longrightarrow s?$$

Some ingredients of the proofs: (i) rank-one perturbation formula

$$G - G^{\alpha} = - \frac{\tau_{\alpha} G^{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^T G^{\alpha}}{1 + \tau_{\alpha} (G^{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{y}_{\alpha})}$$

(ii) the mean and variance of a bilinear form

$$\mathbf{E}\{(G^{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{y}_{\alpha})\} = \mathbf{E}\{s_n^{\alpha}(z)\} = \mathbf{E}\{s_n(z)\} + O(n^{-1}),$$

$$\mathbf{Var}\{(G^{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{y}_{\alpha})\} \leq |\text{Im } z|^{-2} \delta_n, \quad \delta_n = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(iii) certain martingale-differences technique used to estimate vanishing terms.

$$\mathbf{E}\{s_n(z)\} = z^{-1}(mn^{-1} - 1) - \frac{1}{nz} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{1}{1 + \tau_{\alpha}(G^{\alpha}\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{y}_{\alpha})}\right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\{s_n(z)\} &= z^{-1}(mn^{-1} - 1) - \frac{1}{nz} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \mathbf{E}\left\{ \frac{1}{1 + \tau_\alpha(G^\alpha \mathbf{y}_\alpha, \mathbf{y}_\alpha)} \right\} \\
&= z^{-1}(mn^{-1} - 1) - \frac{1}{nz} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \frac{1}{1 + \tau_\alpha \mathbf{E}\{s_n^\alpha(z)\}} (1 + r_{\alpha n}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\{s_n(z)\} &= z^{-1}(mn^{-1} - 1) - \frac{1}{nz} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \mathbf{E}\left\{ \frac{1}{1 + \tau_{\alpha}(G^{\alpha}\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{y}_{\alpha})} \right\} \\ &= z^{-1}(mn^{-1} - 1) - \frac{1}{nz} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \frac{1}{1 + \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{E}\{s_n^{\alpha}(z)\}} (1 + r_{\alpha n}), \end{aligned}$$

$$r_{\alpha n} = \mathbf{E}\left\{ \frac{\tau_{\alpha}(G^{\alpha}\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{y}_{\alpha})^{\circ}}{1 + \tau_{\alpha}(G^{\alpha}\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{y}_{\alpha})} \right\} = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\{s_n(z)\} &= z^{-1}(mn^{-1} - 1) - \frac{1}{nz} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \mathbf{E}\left\{ \frac{1}{1 + \tau_{\alpha}(G^{\alpha}\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{y}_{\alpha})} \right\} \\ &= z^{-1}(mn^{-1} - 1) - \frac{1}{nz} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \frac{1}{1 + \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{E}\{s_n^{\alpha}(z)\}} (1 + r_{\alpha n}), \end{aligned}$$

$$r_{\alpha n} = \mathbf{E}\left\{ \frac{\tau_{\alpha}(G^{\alpha}\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{y}_{\alpha})^{\circ}}{1 + \tau_{\alpha}(G^{\alpha}\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{y}_{\alpha})} \right\} = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$s(z) = z^{-1}(c - 1) - cz^{-1} \int (1 + \tau s(z))^{-1} \sigma(d\tau)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\{s_n(z)\} &= z^{-1}(mn^{-1} - 1) - \frac{1}{nz} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \mathbf{E}\left\{ \frac{1}{1 + \tau_{\alpha}(G^{\alpha}\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{y}_{\alpha})} \right\} \\ &= z^{-1}(mn^{-1} - 1) - \frac{1}{nz} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \frac{1}{1 + \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{E}\{s_n^{\alpha}(z)\}} (1 + r_{\alpha n}), \end{aligned}$$

$$r_{\alpha n} = \mathbf{E}\left\{ \frac{\tau_{\alpha}(G^{\alpha}\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{y}_{\alpha})^{\circ}}{1 + \tau_{\alpha}(G^{\alpha}\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{y}_{\alpha})} \right\} = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$s(z) = z^{-1}(c - 1) - cz^{-1} \int (1 + \tau s(z))^{-1} \sigma(d\tau)$$

Remark

$$\frac{1}{A} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{E}\{A\}} - \frac{A^{\circ}}{A\mathbf{E}\{A\}}, \quad A^{\circ} = A - \mathbf{E}\{A\}$$

$$\frac{1}{A} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{E}\{A\}} - \frac{A^{\circ}}{\mathbf{E}\{A\}^2} + \frac{(A^{\circ})^2}{\mathbf{E}\{A\}^3} - \dots + (-1)^t \frac{(A^{\circ})^t}{A\mathbf{E}\{A\}^t}.$$

Intro. An analog of the Law of Large Numbers

If

$$n^{-1}\mathcal{N}_n[\varphi] = \int \varphi(\lambda)N_n(d\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi(\lambda_j^{(n)})$$

is a normalized linear eigenvalue statistic corresponding to a bounded continuous test-function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, then under conditions of the previous theorem we have in probability:

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty, m/n \rightarrow c} n^{-1}\mathcal{N}_n[\varphi] = \int \varphi(\lambda)N(d\lambda).$$

Intro. An analog of the Law of Large Numbers

If

$$n^{-1}\mathcal{N}_n[\varphi] = \int \varphi(\lambda)N_n(d\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi(\lambda_j^{(n)})$$

is a normalized linear eigenvalue statistic corresponding to a bounded continuous test-function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, then under conditions of the previous theorem we have in probability:

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty, m/n \rightarrow c} n^{-1}\mathcal{N}_n[\varphi] = \int \varphi(\lambda)N(d\lambda).$$

What about the limiting probability law for the fluctuations

$$\mathcal{N}_n^\circ[\varphi] = \mathcal{N}_n[\varphi] - \mathbf{E}\{\mathcal{N}_n[\varphi]\}?$$

$$\mathbf{y}_{\alpha-?} : \mathcal{N}_n^\circ[\varphi] \xrightarrow{m,n \rightarrow \infty, m/n \rightarrow c} ? \text{ in distribution}$$

- **Z. D. Bai, J. W. Silverstein**, (2004) *CLT for linear spectral statistics of large dimensional sample covariance matrices*. Ann. Prob. **32**, 553–605.
- **Chatterjee, S., Bose, A.** (2004) *A new method for bounding rates of convergence of empirical spectral distributions* J. Theoret. Probab. **17** 1003–1019.
- **G. W. Anderson**, O. Zeitouni (2006) *CLT for a band matrix model*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **134**, 283–338.
- **A. Lytova, L. Pastur**, (2009) *Central limit theorem for linear eigenvalue statistics of random matrices with independent entries*, Annals of Probability **37**(5), 1778–1840.
- **G. M. Pan and W. Zhou**, (2008) *Central limit theorem for signal-to-interference ratio of reduced rank linear receiver*. Ann. Appl. Probab., 18(3):12321270.
- **M. Shcherbina**, (2011) *Central limit theorem for linear eigenvalue statistics of Wigner and sample covariance random matrices*, Math. Physics, Analysis, Geometry **7** 2, 176–192.
- **J. Najim, J. Yao**, (2013) *Gaussian fluctuations for linear spectral statistics of large random covariance matrices*, arXiv:1309.3728

The bound for the variance of LES

Lemma Let $M_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^T$, where $\{\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^m$ are independent copies of $\mathbf{y} = \{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ having an unconditional distribution, and

- $\mathbf{E}\{y_j\} = 0$, $\mathbf{E}\{y_i y_j\} = \delta_{ij} n^{-1}$,
- $a_{2,2} := \mathbf{E}\{y_i^2 y_j^2\} = n^{-2} + O(n^{-3})$, $i \neq j$, $\kappa_4 := \mathbf{E}\{y_j^4\} - 3a_{2,2} = O(n^{-2})$.

Then there exists $C > 0$ s.t.

$$\mathbf{Var}\{\mathcal{N}_n[\varphi]\} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{2+\delta}^2,$$

where $\varphi \in H_{2+\delta} = \{\psi : \|\psi\|_{2+\delta}^2 = \int (1 + 2|\xi|)^{2(2+\delta)} |\widehat{\psi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty\}$.

The bound for the variance of LES

Lemma Let $M_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^T$, where $\{\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^m$ are independent copies of $\mathbf{y} = \{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ having an unconditional distribution, and

- $\mathbf{E}\{y_j\} = 0$, $\mathbf{E}\{y_i y_j\} = \delta_{ij} n^{-1}$,
- $a_{2,2} := \mathbf{E}\{y_i^2 y_j^2\} = n^{-2} + O(n^{-3})$, $i \neq j$, $\kappa_4 := \mathbf{E}\{y_j^4\} - 3a_{2,2} = O(n^{-2})$.

Then there exists $C > 0$ s.t.

$$\mathbf{Var}\{\mathcal{N}_n[\varphi]\} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{2+\delta}^2,$$

where $\varphi \in H_{2+\delta} = \{\psi : \|\psi\|_{2+\delta}^2 = \int (1 + 2|\xi|)^{2(2+\delta)} |\widehat{\psi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty\}$.

Proposition (M. Shcherbina)

$$\mathbf{Var}\{\mathcal{N}_n[\varphi]\} \leq C_q \|\varphi\|_q^2 \int_0^{\infty} d\eta e^{-\eta} \eta^{2q-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{Var}\{s_n(x + i\eta)\} dx.$$

Definition. We say that a random vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **CLT-vector** if

$$\mathbf{E}\{y_j\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}\{y_i y_j\} = \delta_{ij} n^{-1},$$

its distribution is unconditional, there exist n -independent $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, s.t.

$$a_{2,2} = \mathbf{E}\{y_i^2 y_j^2\} = n^{-2} + a n^{-3} + o(n^{-3}), \quad i \neq j, n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\kappa_4 = \mathbf{E}\{y_j^4\} - 3a_{2,2} = b n^{-2} + o(n^{-2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}\{|(A_n \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})^\circ|^4\} \leq \|A_n\|^4 \tilde{\delta}_n, \quad \tilde{\delta}_n = O(n^{-2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

for any $n \times n$ complex matrix A_n , which does not depend on \mathbf{y} .

Definition. We say that a random vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **CLT-vector** if

$$\mathbf{E}\{y_j\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}\{y_i y_j\} = \delta_{ij} n^{-1},$$

its distribution is unconditional, there exist n -independent $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, s.t.

$$a_{2,2} = \mathbf{E}\{y_i^2 y_j^2\} = n^{-2} + a n^{-3} + o(n^{-3}), \quad i \neq j, n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\kappa_4 = \mathbf{E}\{y_j^4\} - 3a_{2,2} = b n^{-2} + o(n^{-2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}\{|(A_n \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})^\circ|^4\} \leq \|A_n\|^4 \tilde{\delta}_n, \quad \tilde{\delta}_n = O(n^{-2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

for any $n \times n$ complex matrix A_n , which does not depend on \mathbf{y} .

For example, if \mathbf{x} is uniformly distributed on

$$B_p^n = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\mathbf{x}\|_p = (\sum |x_j|^p)^{1/p} \leq 1\}, \text{ then}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \frac{B(1/p, 2/p)}{B(n/p + 1, 2/p)} \right)^{1/2} \mathbf{x}$$

is a CLT-vector, and

$$a = -4p^{-1}, \quad b = \Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(5/p)\Gamma(3/p)^{-2} - 3.$$

CLT (O. Guédon, AL, A. Pajor, L. Pastur (2013))

Theorem Let $M_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^T$, where

- $\{\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^m$ are CLT-vectors,
- $\int \tau^4 d\sigma(\tau) < \infty$.

If $\varphi \in H_{5/2+\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, then $\mathcal{N}_n^{\circ}[\varphi] = \mathcal{N}_n[\varphi] - \mathbf{E}\{\mathcal{N}_n[\varphi]\}$ converges in distribution to the Gaussian random variable with zero mean and the variance

$$V[\varphi] = \lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int \varphi(\mu) d\mu \int \varphi(\lambda) d\lambda \operatorname{Re} [C(z_{\mu}, \bar{z}_{\lambda}) - C(z_{\mu}, z_{\lambda})],$$

where $z_{\lambda} = \lambda + \eta$, $z_{\mu} = \mu + \eta$,

$$\begin{aligned} C(z_1, z_2) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Cov}\{\operatorname{Tr}G(z_1), \operatorname{Tr}G(z_2)\} \\ &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1 \partial z_2} \left(-(a+b)s(z_1)s(z_2) \frac{\Delta z}{\Delta s} + 2 \ln \frac{\Delta s}{\Delta z} \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$zs(z) = c - 1 - c \int (1 + \tau s(z))^{-1} \sigma(d\tau), \quad \Delta s = s(z_1) - s(z_2), \quad \Delta z = z_1 - z_2.$$

If $\tau_1 = \dots = \tau_m = 1$, then $\mathcal{N}_n^\circ[\varphi] \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, V[\varphi])$ in distribution,

$$V[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{a_-}^{a_+} \int_{a_-}^{a_+} \left(\frac{\Delta\varphi}{\Delta\lambda} \right)^2 \frac{(4c - (\lambda_1 - a_m)(\lambda_2 - a_m))d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2}{\sqrt{(a_+ - \lambda_1)(\lambda_1 - a_-)}\sqrt{(a_+ - \lambda_2)(\lambda_2 - a_-)}} + \frac{a+b}{4c\pi^2} \left(\int_{a_-}^{a_+} \varphi(\mu) \frac{\mu - a_m}{\sqrt{(a_+ - \mu)(\mu - a_-)}} d\mu \right)^2, \quad (3)$$

where

$$a_{\pm} = (1 \pm \sqrt{c})^2, \quad a_m = 1 + c,$$

and as before a, b :

$$a_{2,2} = \mathbf{E}\{y_{\alpha_i}^2 y_{\alpha_j}^2\} = n^{-2} + an^{-3} + o(n^{-3}), \quad \mathbf{E}\{y_{\alpha_j}^4\} - 3a_{2,2} = bn^{-2} + o(n^{-2}).$$

The expression for $V[\varphi]$ coincides with the known one for the limiting variance of linear eigenvalue statistics of Sample Covariance matrices, in which $a = 0$. In particular, we can get (3) if we suppose that all $\{y_{\alpha_j}\}_{\alpha,j=1}^{m,n}$ are i.i.d. random variables having finite fourth moment and

$$\mathbf{E}\{y_{\alpha_j}\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}\{y_{\alpha_j}^2\} = n^{-1} + an^{-2}/2 + o(n^{-3}), \quad b = \mathbf{E}\{y_{\alpha_j}^4\} - 3\mathbf{E}\{y_{\alpha_j}^2\}^2.$$

Vectors with a log-concave unconditional distribution

Isotropic random vectors with a log-concave unconditional distribution are almost CLT-vectors.

Lemma If $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has a log-concave unconditional symmetric distribution and satisfies

$$\mathbf{E}\{y_j\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}\{y_i y_j\} = \delta_{ij} n^{-1},$$

then

$$a_{2,2} = \mathbf{E}\{y_i^2 y_j^2\} = n^{-2} + O(n^{-3}), \quad \kappa_4 = \mathbf{E}\{y_j^4\} - 3a_{2,2} = O(n^{-2}),$$

$$\mathbf{E}\{|(A_n \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})^\circ|^{2p}\} \leq C \|A_n\|^{2p} n^{-p}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

for any $n \times n$ complex matrix A_n , which does not depend on \mathbf{y} .

Definition. The distribution of random vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *unconditional* if its components $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^n$ have the same joint distribution as $\{\pm y_j\}_{j=1}^n$ for any choice of signs. It is called *symmetric* if the components $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^n$ have the same joint distribution as $\{y_{\alpha(j)}\}_{j=1}^n$ for any choice of permutation α .

Tensor Product Version of Sample Covariance Matrices

Tensor Product Version of Sample Covariance Matrices

Consider random vectors of the form

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{y}^{(k)} \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes k},$$

where $\mathbf{y}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{y}^{(k)}$ are i.i.d. copies of a random vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$Y_{\mathbf{j}} = y_{j_1}^{(1)} \times \dots \times y_{j_k}^{(k)}, \quad \mathbf{j} = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}, \quad 1 \leq j_l \leq n,$$

k is fixed.

Tensor Product Version of Sample Covariance Matrices

Consider random vectors of the form

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{y}^{(k)} \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes k},$$

where $\mathbf{y}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{y}^{(k)}$ are i.i.d. copies of a random vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$Y_{\mathbf{j}} = y_{j_1}^{(1)} \times \dots \times y_{j_k}^{(k)}, \quad \mathbf{j} = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}, \quad 1 \leq j_l \leq n,$$

k is fixed. Let \mathcal{M}_n be an $n^k \times n^k$ random matrix of the form

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \mathcal{M}_{m,n,k} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha}^T,$$

where

- $m/n^k \rightarrow c \in (0, \infty)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$
- $\tau_{\alpha} > 0$, $\sigma_m(\Delta) = \#\{\tau_{\alpha} \in \Delta\}/m$: $\sigma_m \rightarrow \sigma$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- $\mathbf{Y}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(k)}$, and $\{\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(p)}\}_{\alpha,p=1}^{m,k}$ are i.i.d. random vectors in \mathbb{R}^n

Tensor Product Version of Sample Covariance Matrices

Consider random vectors of the form

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{y}^{(k)} \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes k},$$

where $\mathbf{y}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{y}^{(k)}$ are i.i.d. copies of a random vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$Y_{\mathbf{j}} = y_{j_1}^{(1)} \times \dots \times y_{j_k}^{(k)}, \quad \mathbf{j} = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}, \quad 1 \leq j_l \leq n,$$

k is fixed. Let \mathcal{M}_n be an $n^k \times n^k$ random matrix of the form

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \mathcal{M}_{m,n,k} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha}^T,$$

where

- $m/n^k \rightarrow c \in (0, \infty)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$
- $\tau_{\alpha} > 0$, $\sigma_m(\Delta) = \#\{\tau_{\alpha} \in \Delta\}/m$: $\sigma_m \rightarrow \sigma$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- $\mathbf{Y}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(k)}$, and $\{\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(p)}\}_{\alpha,p=1}^{m,k}$ are i.i.d. random vectors in \mathbb{R}^n

$$(\mathcal{M}_n)_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{l}} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} y_{j_1}^{(1)} \times \dots \times y_{j_k}^{(k)} y_{l_1}^{(1)} \times \dots \times y_{l_k}^{(k)}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha}^T, \quad \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(k)}$$

Studied by M. Hastings et al as a model of data hiding and correlation scheme of Quantum Informatics (Ambainis, A., Harrow, A. W., and Hastings, M. B. (2012). *Random tensor theory: extending random matrix theory to random product states*. Commun. Math. Phys., **310** 1, 25-74).

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha}^T, \quad \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(k)}$$

Studied by M. Hastings et al as a model of data hiding and correlation scheme of Quantum Informatics (Ambainis, A., Harrow, A. W., and Hastings, M. B. (2012). *Random tensor theory: extending random matrix theory to random product states*. Commun. Math. Phys., **310** 1, 25-74).

Lemma Let $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{y}^{(k)}$, where $\{\mathbf{y}^{(p)}\}_{p=1}^k$ are i.i.d. copies of random vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ s.t.

$$\mathbf{E}\{y_j\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}\{y_i y_j\} = \delta_{ij} n^{-1},$$

and for any $n \times n$ complex matrix A_n , which does not depend on \mathbf{y} ,

$$\mathbf{E}\{|(A_n \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})^{\circ}|^{2t}\} \leq \|A_n\|^{2t} \delta_n, \quad \delta_n = O(n^{-\alpha}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then for any $n^k \times n^k$ complex matrix \mathcal{A}_n , which does not depend on \mathbf{y}

$$\mathbf{E}\{|(\mathcal{A}_n \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Y})^{\circ}|^{2t}\} \leq C_t k^t \|A_n\|^{2t} \delta_n.$$

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha}^T, \quad \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(k)}$$

Theorem (Convergence of NCM) If $\{\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(p)}\}_{\alpha,p=1}^{m,k}$ are i.i.d. copies of random vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ s.t.

$$\mathbf{E}\{y_j\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}\{y_i y_j\} = \delta_{ij} n^{-1},$$

and for any $n \times n$ complex matrix A_n , which does not depend on \mathbf{y} ,

$$\mathbf{Var}\{(A_n \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})\} \leq \|A_n\|^2 \delta_n, \quad \delta_n = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then the NCM of \mathcal{M}_n , $N_n(\Delta) = \#\{\lambda_i^{(n)} \in \Delta\}/n^k$, converges in probability to the non-random probability measure N defined in the Marchenko-Pastur theorem.

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha}^T, \quad \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(k)}$$

Theorem (Convergence of NCM) If $\{\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(p)}\}_{\alpha,p=1}^{m,k}$ are i.i.d. copies of random vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ s.t.

$$\mathbf{E}\{y_j\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}\{y_i y_j\} = \delta_{ij} n^{-1},$$

and for any $n \times n$ complex matrix A_n , which does not depend on \mathbf{y} ,

$$\mathbf{Var}\{(A_n \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})\} \leq \|A_n\|^2 \delta_n, \quad \delta_n = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then the NCM of \mathcal{M}_n , $N_n(\Delta) = \#\{\lambda_i^{(n)} \in \Delta\}/n^k$, converges in probability to the non-random probability measure N defined in the Marchenko-Pastur theorem.

Lemma If $\mathcal{N}_n[\varphi] = \text{Tr} \varphi(\mathcal{M}_n)$, $\varphi \in H_{5/2+\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\{\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(p)}\}_{\alpha,p=1}^{m,k}$ are i.i.d. copies of random vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ having an unconditional distribution, and

$$\mathbf{E}\{y_j\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}\{y_i y_j\} = \delta_{ij} n^{-1},$$

$$\mathbf{Var}\{(A_n \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})\} \leq C \|A_n\|^2 n^{-1},$$

then

$$\mathbf{Var}\{\mathcal{N}_n[\varphi]\} = O(kn^{k-1}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha}^T, \quad \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(k)}$$

Theorem (CLT) If $\varphi \in H_{5/2+\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\int \tau^4 d\sigma(\tau) < \infty$, and $\{\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(p)}\}_{\alpha,p=1}^{m,k}$ are i.i.d. copies of random vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ having an unconditional distribution,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\{y_j\} &= 0, & \mathbf{E}\{y_i y_j\} &= \delta_{ij} n^{-1}, \\ a_{2,2} &= \mathbf{E}\{y_i^2 y_j^2\} = n^{-2} + a n^{-3} + o(n^{-3}), \\ \kappa_4 &= \mathbf{E}\{y_j^4\} - 3a_{2,2} = b n^{-2} + o(n^{-2}), \\ \mathbf{E}\{|(A_n \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})^{\circ}|^{2(k+1)}\} &\leq C \|A_n\|^{2(k+1)} n^{-(k+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

then $n^{(1-k)/2} \mathcal{N}_n^{\circ}[\varphi]$ converges in distribution to the Gaussian random variable with zero mean and the variance

$$V[\varphi] = \lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int \varphi(\mu) d\mu \int \varphi(\lambda) d\lambda \operatorname{Re} [C(z_{\mu}, \bar{z}_{\lambda}) - C(z_{\mu}, z_{\lambda})],$$

where $z_{\lambda} = \lambda + \eta$, $z_{\mu} = \mu + \eta$,

$$C(z_1, z_2) = -k(a + b + 2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1 \partial z_2} \left(s(z_1) s(z_2) \frac{\Delta z}{\Delta s} \right),$$

$$zs(z) = c - 1 - c \int (1 + \tau s(z))^{-1} \sigma(d\tau).$$

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha}^T, \quad \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(k)}$$

Theorem (CLT) If $\varphi \in H_{5/2+\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\int \tau^4 d\sigma(\tau) < \infty$, and $\{\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(p)}\}_{\alpha,p=1}^{m,k}$ are i.i.d. copies of random vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ having an unconditional distribution,

$$\mathbf{E}\{y_j\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}\{y_i y_j\} = \delta_{ij} n^{-1},$$

$$a_{2,2} = \mathbf{E}\{y_i^2 y_j^2\} = n^{-2} + a n^{-3} + o(n^{-3}),$$

$$\kappa_4 = \mathbf{E}\{y_j^4\} - 3a_{2,2} = b n^{-2} + o(n^{-2}), \quad a + b + 2 \neq 0,$$

$$\mathbf{E}\{ |(A_n \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})^{\circ}|^{2(k+1)} \} \leq C \|A_n\|^{2(k+1)} n^{-(k+1)},$$

then $n^{(1-k)/2} \mathcal{N}_n^{\circ}[\varphi]$ converges in distribution to the Gaussian random variable with zero mean and the variance

$$V[\varphi] = \lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int \varphi(\mu) d\mu \int \varphi(\lambda) d\lambda \operatorname{Re} [C(z_{\mu}, \bar{z}_{\lambda}) - C(z_{\mu}, z_{\lambda})],$$

where $z_{\lambda} = \lambda + \eta$, $z_{\mu} = \mu + \eta$,

$$C(z_1, z_2) = -k(a + b + 2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1 \partial z_2} \left(s(z_1) s(z_2) \frac{\Delta z}{\Delta s} \right),$$

$$zs(z) = c - 1 - c \int (1 + \tau s(z))^{-1} \sigma(d\tau).$$

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha}^T, \quad \mathbf{Y}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(k)}$$

If $\{\mathbf{y}_{\alpha}^{(p)}\}_{\alpha,p=1}^{m,k}$ are i.i.d. copies of random vector \mathbf{y} uniformly distributed on the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$a = -2, \quad b = 0.$$

After renormalization we get: $n^{(2-k)/2} \mathcal{N}_n^{\circ}[\varphi]$ converges in distribution to the Gaussian random variable with zero mean and the variance

$$V[\varphi] = \lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int \varphi(\mu) d\mu \int \varphi(\lambda) d\lambda \operatorname{Re} [C(z_{\mu}, \bar{z}_{\lambda}) - C(z_{\mu}, z_{\lambda})],$$

where $z_{\lambda} = \lambda + \eta$, $z_{\mu} = \mu + \eta$,

$$C(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1 \partial z_2} \left(3k(k-1)s(z_1)s(z_2) \frac{\Delta z}{\Delta s} + 2\delta_{k2} \ln \frac{\Delta s}{\Delta z} \right).$$

Thank you for your attention