

Norms of submatrices and entropic uncertainty relations for high dimensional random unitaries

Radosław Adamczak

University of Warsaw

Joint work with R. Latała, Z. Puchała, K. Życzkowski

Random matrices and their applications
Hong Kong 2015

Quantum states and von Neumann measurements

- a state of a quantum system – a unit element $|\psi\rangle$ of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}
- in this talk: N -level systems – $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_N \simeq \mathbb{C}^N$

Quantum states and von Neumann measurements

- a state of a quantum system – a unit element $|\psi\rangle$ of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}
- in this talk: N -level systems – $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_N \simeq \mathbb{C}^N$
- a non-degenerate von Neumann measurement:
 - N possible outcomes a_1, \dots, a_N ,
 - an orthonormal basis $|e_1\rangle, \dots, |e_N\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_N$.
 - the probability of getting answer a_i for a system in state $|\psi\rangle$ is $p_i = |\langle\psi|e_i\rangle|^2$.
 - sometimes we will identify $|e_i\rangle$'s with columns of a unitary matrix.

Quantum states and von Neumann measurements

- a state of a quantum system – a unit element $|\psi\rangle$ of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}
- in this talk: N -level systems – $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_N \simeq \mathbb{C}^N$
- a non-degenerate von Neumann measurement:
 - N possible outcomes a_1, \dots, a_N ,
 - an orthonormal basis $|e_1\rangle, \dots, |e_N\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_N$.
 - the probability of getting answer a_i for a system in state $|\psi\rangle$ is $p_i = |\langle\psi|e_i\rangle|^2$.
 - sometimes we will identify $|e_i\rangle$'s with columns of a unitary matrix.
- In principle all unitary matrices can be realised in experiments (Reck, Zeilinger, Bernstein, Bertani, 1994)

Quantum states and von Neumann measurements

- a state of a quantum system – a unit element $|\psi\rangle$ of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}
- in this talk: N -level systems – $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_N \simeq \mathbb{C}^N$
- a non-degenerate von Neumann measurement:
 - N possible outcomes a_1, \dots, a_N ,
 - an orthonormal basis $|e_1\rangle, \dots, |e_N\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_N$.
 - the probability of getting answer a_i for a system in state $|\psi\rangle$ is $p_i = |\langle\psi|e_i\rangle|^2$.
 - sometimes we will identify $|e_i\rangle$'s with columns of a unitary matrix.
- In principle all unitary matrices can be realised in experiments (Reck, Zeilinger, Bernstein, Bertani, 1994)
- If $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$ then one associates with the measurement a Hermitian operator (observable) $A = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|$. We then have
 - mean output: $\langle A \rangle_\psi = \langle\psi|A|\psi\rangle$
 - standard deviation: $(\Delta_\psi(A))^2 = \langle\psi|A^2|\psi\rangle - \langle\psi|A|\psi\rangle^2$.

Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle

- mean output: $\langle A \rangle_\psi = \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle$
- standard deviation: $(\Delta_\psi(A))^2 = \langle \psi | A^2 | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle^2$.

Theorem (Heisenberg UP)

For any two Hermitian operators A, B on \mathcal{H} and any state $|\psi\rangle$

$$\Delta_\psi^2(A)\Delta_\psi^2(B) \geq \frac{1}{4} \left| \langle \psi | [A, B] | \psi \rangle \right|^2,$$

where $[A, B] = AB - BA$.

Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle

- mean output: $\langle A \rangle_\psi = \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle$
- standard deviation: $(\Delta_\psi(A))^2 = \langle \psi | A^2 | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle^2$.

Theorem (Heisenberg UP)

For any two Hermitian operators A, B on \mathcal{H} and any state $|\psi\rangle$

$$\Delta_\psi^2(A)\Delta_\psi^2(B) \geq \frac{1}{4} \left| \langle \psi | [A, B] | \psi \rangle \right|^2,$$

where $[A, B] = AB - BA$.

- In particular if $[A, B] = c\text{Id}$ then

$$\inf_{\psi} \Delta_\psi^2(A)\Delta_\psi^2(B) \geq \frac{1}{4} |c|^2,$$

Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle

- mean output: $\langle A \rangle_\psi = \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle$
- standard deviation: $(\Delta_\psi(A))^2 = \langle \psi | A^2 | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle^2$.

Theorem (Heisenberg UP)

For any two Hermitian operators A, B on \mathcal{H} and any state $|\psi\rangle$

$$\Delta_\psi^2(A)\Delta_\psi^2(B) \geq \frac{1}{4} \left| \langle \psi | [A, B] | \psi \rangle \right|^2,$$

where $[A, B] = AB - BA$.

- In particular if $[A, B] = c\text{Id}$ then

$$\inf_\psi \Delta_\psi^2(A)\Delta_\psi^2(B) \geq \frac{1}{4} |c|^2,$$

- First for position and momentum operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (Heisenberg)

Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle

- mean output: $\langle A \rangle_\psi = \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle$
- standard deviation: $(\Delta_\psi(A))^2 = \langle \psi | A^2 | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle^2$.

Theorem (Heisenberg UP)

For any two Hermitian operators A, B on \mathcal{H} and any state $|\psi\rangle$

$$\Delta_\psi^2(A)\Delta_\psi^2(B) \geq \frac{1}{4} \left| \langle \psi | [A, B] | \psi \rangle \right|^2,$$

where $[A, B] = AB - BA$.

- In particular if $[A, B] = c\text{Id}$ then

$$\inf_\psi \Delta_\psi^2(A)\Delta_\psi^2(B) \geq \frac{1}{4} |c|^2,$$

- First for position and momentum operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (Heisenberg)
- Depends on A, B rather than just on the measurement basis, the outputs have to be numerical

Entropic uncertainty principle

A natural way to quantify uncertainty corresponding to a random variable is Shannon's entropy

Definition

Shannon's entropy of a probability vector $p = (p_1, \dots, p_N)$ is defined as

$$H(p) = \sum_{i=1}^N -p_i \ln p_i.$$

- $H(p) \geq 0$ ($H(p) = 0$ only if $p = \delta_i$ - no uncertainty),
- Jensen's ineq. $\implies H(p) \leq \ln N$ (equality only for the uniform distr. – greatest uncertainty),

Entropic uncertainty principle

A natural way to quantify uncertainty corresponding to a random variable is Shannon's entropy

Definition

Shannon's entropy of a probability vector $p = (p_1, \dots, p_N)$ is defined as

$$H(p) = \sum_{i=1}^N -p_i \ln p_i.$$

- $H(p) \geq 0$ ($H(p) = 0$ only if $p = \delta_i$ - no uncertainty),
- Jensen's ineq. $\implies H(p) \leq \ln N$ (equality only for the uniform distr. - greatest uncertainty),
- **Question:** For two basis $|e_1\rangle, \dots, |e_N\rangle$ and $|v_1\rangle, \dots, |v_N\rangle$ can we find conditions guaranteeing that

$$\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right)$$

is large where $p^{\psi} = (|\langle \psi | e_i \rangle|^2)_{i=1}^N$, $q^{\psi} = (|\langle \psi | v_i \rangle|^2)_{i=1}^N$?

Some history – continuous case

- The use of Shannon's entropy (of probability densities) was postulated first independently by Hirschmann and Everett (1957) who conjectured an uncertainty principle for the position and momentum operators.
- The proof was provided by Białynicki-Birula and Mycielski and by Beckner in 1975 (both based on Beckner's results for the Fourier transform)
- The entropic version of Heisenberg's principle for position and momentum is known to imply the version with standard deviations.

Back to finite dim.: Deutsch, Maassen-Uffink & Coles-Piani ineq.

Question: For two bases $|e_1\rangle, \dots, |e_N\rangle$ and $|v_1\rangle, \dots, |v_N\rangle$. Find lower bounds on

$$\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right),$$

where $p = (|\langle \psi | e_i \rangle|^2)_{i=1}^N$, $q = (|\langle \psi | v_i \rangle|^2)_{i=1}^N$?

Back to finite dim.: Deutsch, Maassen-Uffink & Coles-Piani ineq.

Question: For two bases $|e_1\rangle, \dots, |e_N\rangle$ and $|v_1\rangle, \dots, |v_N\rangle$. Find lower bounds on

$$\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right),$$

where $p = (|\langle \psi | e_i \rangle|^2)_{i=1}^N$, $q = (|\langle \psi | v_i \rangle|^2)_{i=1}^N$?

$$U = [U_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N := [\langle e_i | v_j \rangle]_{i,j=1}^N, \quad c := \max_{i,j} |U_{ij}|.$$

- **Deutsch (1983):** $\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq -2 \ln \frac{1+c}{2}$

Back to finite dim.: Deutsch, Maassen-Uffink & Coles-Piani ineq.

Question: For two bases $|e_1\rangle, \dots, |e_N\rangle$ and $|v_1\rangle, \dots, |v_N\rangle$. Find lower bounds on

$$\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right),$$

where $p = (|\langle \psi | e_i \rangle|^2)_{i=1}^N$, $q = (|\langle \psi | v_i \rangle|^2)_{i=1}^N$?

$$U = [U_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N := [\langle e_i | v_j \rangle]_{i,j=1}^N, \quad c := \max_{i,j} |U_{ij}|.$$

- **Deutsch (1983):** $\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq -2 \ln \frac{1+c}{2}$
- **Maassen-Uffink (1988):** $\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq -\ln c^2$

Back to finite dim.: Deutsch, Maassen-Uffink & Coles-Piani ineq.

Question: For two bases $|e_1\rangle, \dots, |e_N\rangle$ and $|v_1\rangle, \dots, |v_N\rangle$. Find lower bounds on

$$\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right),$$

where $p = (|\langle\psi|e_i\rangle|^2)_{i=1}^N$, $q = (|\langle\psi|v_i\rangle|^2)_{i=1}^N$?

$$U = [U_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N := [\langle e_i|v_j\rangle]_{i,j=1}^N, \quad c := \max_{i,j} |U_{ij}|.$$

- **Deutsch (1983):** $\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq -2 \ln \frac{1+c}{2}$
- **Maassen-Uffink (1988):** $\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq -\ln c^2$
- **Coles-Piani (2014):**
 $\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq -\ln c^2 + (1-c) \ln(c/c_2)$, where c_2 – second largest element of U .

Back to finite dim.: Deutsch, Maassen-Uffink & Coles-Piani ineq.

Question: For two bases $|e_1\rangle, \dots, |e_N\rangle$ and $|v_1\rangle, \dots, |v_N\rangle$. Find lower bounds on

$$\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right),$$

where $p = (|\langle\psi|e_i\rangle|^2)_{i=1}^N$, $q = (|\langle\psi|v_i\rangle|^2)_{i=1}^N$?

$$U = [U_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N := [\langle e_i|v_j\rangle]_{i,j=1}^N, \quad c := \max_{i,j} |U_{ij}|.$$

- **Deutsch (1983):** $\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq -2 \ln \frac{1+c}{2}$
- **Maassen-Uffink (1988):** $\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq -\ln c^2$
- **Coles-Piani (2014):**
 $\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq -\ln c^2 + (1-c) \ln(c/c_2)$, where c_2 – second largest element of U .
- This is optimal for *mutually unbiased bases* ($|\langle e_i|v_j\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{N}$ for all i, j , e.g. standard and Fourier bases):

$$\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq \ln N.$$

More than two measurements

- One can also consider a larger number of measurements, given by unitaries $U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(L)}$. If for $i = 1, \dots, L$, the probability vectors $p^{(\psi, i)} = (p_1^{(i)}, \dots, p_N^{(i)})$ are given by $p_j^{(i)} = |\langle \psi | U^{(i)} | e_j \rangle|^2$, what can be said about

$$\min_{\psi} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L H(p^{(\psi, i)})?$$

More than two measurements

- One can also consider a larger number of measurements, given by unitaries $U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(L)}$. If for $i = 1, \dots, L$, the probability vectors $p^{(\psi, i)} = (p_1^{(i)}, \dots, p_N^{(i)})$ are given by $p_j^{(i)} = |\langle \psi | U^{(i)} | e_j \rangle|^2$, what can be said about

$$\min_{\psi} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L H(p^{(\psi, i)})?$$

- The best possible lower bound is $\frac{L-1}{L} \ln N$.

More than two measurements

- One can also consider a larger number of measurements, given by unitaries $U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(L)}$. If for $i = 1, \dots, L$, the probability vectors $p^{(\psi, i)} = (p_1^{(i)}, \dots, p_N^{(i)})$ are given by $p_j^{(i)} = |\langle \psi | U^{(i)} | e_j \rangle|^2$, what can be said about

$$\min_{\psi} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L H(p^{(\psi, i)})?$$

- The best possible lower bound is $\frac{L-1}{L} \ln N$.
- Taking pairwise mutually unbiased bases we get via Maassen-Uffink's bound:

$$\min_{\psi} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L H(p^{(\psi, i)}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \ln N.$$

This turns out to be optimal for MUB's if $L \leq \sqrt{N} + 1$, $N = P^{2l}$, P - prime (Ballester-Wehner 2007).

- Pairwise MUB's:

$$\min_{\psi} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L H(p^{(\psi,i)}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \ln N.$$

Optimal for MUB's if $L \leq \sqrt{N} + 1$.

- Pairwise MUB's:

$$\min_{\psi} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L H(\rho^{(\psi,i)}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \ln N.$$

Optimal for MUB's if $L \leq \sqrt{N} + 1$.

- For $L = N + 1$ MUB's (maximal possible), then

$$\min_{\psi} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L H(\rho^{(\psi,i)}) \geq \ln(N + 1) - 1.$$

Ivanovic (1992), Sanchez-Ruiz (1993).

- Pairwise MUB's:

$$\min_{\psi} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L H(\rho^{(\psi,i)}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \ln N.$$

Optimal for MUB's if $L \leq \sqrt{N} + 1$.

- For $L = N + 1$ MUB's (maximal possible), then

$$\min_{\psi} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L H(\rho^{(\psi,i)}) \geq \ln(N + 1) - 1.$$

Ivanovic (1992), Sanchez-Ruiz (1993).

- For $L > 2$ but small wrt. N , random constructions only: Hayden et al. (2004). If U_1, \dots, U_L are random unitary matrices and $L \geq (\ln N)^4$, then with high probability as $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\min_{\psi} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L H(\rho^{(\psi,i)}) \geq \ln N - O(1) = \frac{L-1}{L} \ln N - O(1).$$

- For $L > 2$ but small wrt. N , random constructions only: Hayden et al. (2004). If U_1, \dots, U_L are random unitary matrices and $L \geq (\ln N)^4$, then with high probability as $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\min_{\psi} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L H(\rho^{(\psi,i)}) \geq \ln N - O(1).$$

- **Method of proof:** H is roughly $\ln N$ Lipschitz \rightarrow concentration of measure, ϵ -nets and union bounds.

- For $L > 2$ but small wrt. N , random constructions only: Hayden et al. (2004). If U_1, \dots, U_L are random unitary matrices and $L \geq (\ln N)^4$, then with high probability as $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\min_{\psi} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L H(\rho^{(\psi,i)}) \geq \ln N - O(1).$$

- **Method of proof:** H is roughly $\ln N$ Lipschitz \rightarrow concentration of measure, ϵ -nets and union bounds.

Question

Can you do it for smaller L ? Motivation:

- $L = 2$ – optimal deterministic constructions known, but what's the behaviour for generic bases?
- $2 < L \ll N$ – no deterministic constructions. Proof of existence by probabilistic methods.
- $L = 2$ – check optimality of known uncertainty relations on generic data.

Theorem (Latała, Puchała, Życzkowski, A. (2014))

Let U be an $N \times N$ random unitary matrix. With probability converging to one as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for any two basis $(|e_i\rangle)_{i=1}^N, (|v_i\rangle)_{i=1}^N$, such that

$$U = [\langle e_i | v_j \rangle]_{i,j=1}^N$$

$$\ln N - C_0 \geq \min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq \ln N - C_1,$$

for any $C_0 < 1 - \gamma \simeq 0.42$ and $C_1 \simeq 3.49$.

Theorem (Latała, Puchała, Życzkowski, A. (2014))

Let U be an $N \times N$ random unitary matrix. With probability converging to one as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for any two basis $(|e_i\rangle)_{i=1}^N, (|v_i\rangle)_{i=1}^N$, such that $U = [\langle e_i | v_j \rangle]_{i,j=1}^N$

$$\ln N - C_0 \geq \min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq \ln N - C_1,$$

for any $C_0 < 1 - \gamma \simeq 0.42$ and $C_1 \simeq 3.49$.

Theorem (Latała, Puchała, Życzkowski, A. (2014))

In the setting with L measurements, if the bases are given by i.i.d. random unitary matrices, then with probability converging to one (uniformly in $L \geq 2$) as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\min_{\psi} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L H(p^{(\psi,i)}) \geq \frac{L-1}{L} \ln N - C_2,$$

where C_2 is a universal constant.

Recall the Maassen-Uffink bound:

$$\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq -\ln c^2,$$

where $c = \max |U_{ij}|$.

Recall the Maassen-Uffink bound:

$$\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq -\ln c^2,$$

where $c = \max |U_{ij}|$.

- For a random unitary matrix $c \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln N}{N}}$ (Jiang).

Recall the Maassen-Uffink bound:

$$\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq -\ln c^2,$$

where $c = \max |U_{ij}|$.

- For a random unitary matrix $c \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln N}{N}}$ (Jiang).
- Therefore the Maassen-Uffink ineq. gives

$$\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq \ln N - \ln \ln N - \ln 2.$$

and therefore is suboptimal for generic data.

Recall the Maassen-Uffink bound:

$$\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq -\ln c^2,$$

where $c = \max |U_{ij}|$.

- For a random unitary matrix $c \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln N}{N}}$ (Jiang).
- Therefore the Maassen-Uffink ineq. gives

$$\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq \ln N - \ln \ln N - \ln 2.$$

and therefore is suboptimal for generic data.

- One can also show that the Coles-Piani ineq. gives on generic matrices a bound not better than

$$\min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq \ln N - \ln \ln N - \frac{1}{2} \ln 2.$$

Main tool. Majorization and Schur concavity

Definition

If $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ are two non-negative vectors then we say that p is majorized by q ($p \prec q$) if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k p_i^\downarrow \leq \sum_{i=1}^k q_i^\downarrow, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

with equality for $k = n$, where $x_1^\downarrow \geq \dots \geq x_n^\downarrow$ is the non-increasing rearrangement of the coordinates of x .

We say that a function $F: [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Schur concave if $f(p) \geq f(q)$, whenever $p \prec q$.

Theorem (Schur)

A differentiable function F is Schur concave iff it is permutation invariant and for all x , $(x_1 - x_2) \left(\frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x_2} \right) \leq 0$.

Corollary: $F(x) = -\sum_i x_i \ln x_i$ is Schur concave. In particular if $p \prec q$, then $H(p) \geq H(q)$.

Majorization entropic uncertainty relations

For the unitary matrix $U = [\langle e_i | v_j \rangle]_{i,j=1}^N$ and set $s_0 = 0$ and for $k \geq 1$,

$$s_k = \max\{\|A\| : A \text{ is an } n \times m \text{ submatrix of } U, n + m = k + 1\}.$$

Theorem (Rudnicki, Puchała, Życzkowski (2014))

For any two bases $(|e_i\rangle)_{i=1}^N$ and $(|v_i\rangle)_{i=1}^N$ and any state $|\psi\rangle$, Let x_1, \dots, x_{2N} be the coordinates of $p^\psi \oplus q^\psi$. Then for all k ,

$$x_1^\downarrow + \dots + x_k^\downarrow \leq 1 + s_{k-1}.$$

As a consequence $p^\psi \oplus q^\psi \prec (1, s_1, s_2 - s_1, \dots, s_{N-1} - s_{N-2})$ and $\min_\psi (H(p^\psi) + H(q^\psi)) \geq -\sum_i (s_i - s_{i-1}) \ln(s_i - s_{i-1})$.

Remark: This is not directly comparable with the Maassen-Uffink bound.

Random unitaries. Norms of submatrices

Lemma (Latała, Puchała, Życzkowski, A.)

Let U be an $N \times N$ random unitary matrix and

$$U(n, m) = \max\{\|A\| : A \text{ is an } n \times m \text{ submatrix of } U\}$$

Then for all m, n and all $\varepsilon \in [0, 1/3]$

$$\mathbb{E}\|U(n, m)\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - 2\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{2N - 1}} \left(m \ln \frac{eN}{m} + n \ln \frac{eN}{n} + 2(n + m) \ln\left(1 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right) \right)^{1/2}.$$

The method of proof is completely standard, just the union bound and concentration of measure on the sphere (however now we deal with 1-Lipschitz functions). Note that for fixed n, m (indep. of N) it gives

$$U(n, m) \leq (1 + o_P(1)) \sqrt{\frac{n + m}{N} \ln N} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Asymptotic uncertainty relation for two measurements

As a consequence with probability tending to one as $N \rightarrow \infty$, for all $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$,

$$s_k \leq m_k := \sqrt{4.18 \frac{k+1}{N} \left(1 + \ln \left(\frac{2N}{k+1} \right) \right)}.$$

This bound is clearly suboptimal for large k (as the rhs exceeds one), but it suffices for proving the uncertainty principle for random unitaries by slightly tedious but straightforward calculations:

Asymptotic uncertainty relation for two measurements

As a consequence with probability tending to one as $N \rightarrow \infty$, for all $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$,

$$s_k \leq m_k := \sqrt{4.18 \frac{k+1}{N} \left(1 + \ln \left(\frac{2N}{k+1} \right) \right)}.$$

This bound is clearly suboptimal for large k (as the rhs exceeds one), but it suffices for proving the uncertainty principle for random unitaries by slightly tedious but straightforward calculations:

- $m_k - m_{k-1} \leq \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sqrt{4.18 \ln \frac{2N}{i}}}{2\sqrt{\frac{i}{N} \ln \frac{2eN}{i}}} =: r_i.$

Asymptotic uncertainty relation for two measurements

As a consequence with probability tending to one as $N \rightarrow \infty$, for all $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$,

$$s_k \leq m_k := \sqrt{4.18 \frac{k+1}{N} \left(1 + \ln \left(\frac{2N}{k+1} \right) \right)}.$$

This bound is clearly suboptimal for large k (as the rhs exceeds one), but it suffices for proving the uncertainty principle for random unitaries by slightly tedious but straightforward calculations:

- $m_k - m_{k-1} \leq \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sqrt{4.18 \ln \frac{2N}{i}}}{2\sqrt{\frac{i}{N} \ln \frac{2eN}{i}}} =: r_i.$
- $N_0 = \max\{i: \sum_{k=1}^i r_k < 1\}$, $R = (r_1, \dots, r_{N_0}, 1 - r_{N_0})$

Asymptotic uncertainty relation for two measurements

As a consequence with probability tending to one as $N \rightarrow \infty$, for all $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$,

$$s_k \leq m_k := \sqrt{4.18 \frac{k+1}{N} \left(1 + \ln \left(\frac{2N}{k+1} \right) \right)}.$$

This bound is clearly suboptimal for large k (as the rhs exceeds one), but it suffices for proving the uncertainty principle for random unitaries by slightly tedious but straightforward calculations:

- $m_k - m_{k-1} \leq \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sqrt{4.18 \ln \frac{2N}{i}}}{2\sqrt{\frac{i}{N} \ln \frac{2eN}{i}}} =: r_i.$
- $N_0 = \max\{i: \sum_{k=1}^i r_k < 1\}$, $R = (r_1, \dots, r_{N_0}, 1 - r_{N_0})$
- We have $p^\psi \oplus q^\psi \prec (1) \oplus R$, so $H(p^\psi) + H(q^\psi) \geq H(R).$

Asymptotic uncertainty relation for two measurements

As a consequence with probability tending to one as $N \rightarrow \infty$, for all $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$,

$$s_k \leq m_k := \sqrt{4.18 \frac{k+1}{N} \left(1 + \ln \left(\frac{2N}{k+1} \right) \right)}.$$

This bound is clearly suboptimal for large k (as the rhs exceeds one), but it suffices for proving the uncertainty principle for random unitaries by slightly tedious but straightforward calculations:

- $m_k - m_{k-1} \leq \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sqrt{4.18 \ln \frac{2N}{i}}}{2\sqrt{\frac{i}{N} \ln \frac{2eN}{i}}} =: r_i.$
- $N_0 = \max\{i: \sum_{k=1}^i r_k < 1\}$, $R = (r_1, \dots, r_{N_0}, 1 - r_{N_0})$
- We have $p^\psi \oplus q^\psi \prec (1) \oplus R$, so $H(p^\psi) + H(q^\psi) \geq H(R).$
- We estimate $H(R) \geq \ln N - 3.49.$

Asymptotic uncertainty relation for two measurements

As a consequence with probability tending to one as $N \rightarrow \infty$, for all $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$,

$$s_k \leq m_k := \sqrt{4.18 \frac{k+1}{N} \left(1 + \ln \left(\frac{2N}{k+1} \right) \right)}.$$

This bound is clearly suboptimal for large k (as the rhs exceeds one), but it suffices for proving the uncertainty principle for random unitaries by slightly tedious but straightforward calculations:

- $m_k - m_{k-1} \leq \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sqrt{4.18 \ln \frac{2N}{i}}}{2\sqrt{\frac{i}{N} \ln \frac{2eN}{i}}} =: r_i.$
- $N_0 = \max\{i: \sum_{k=1}^i r_k < 1\}$, $R = (r_1, \dots, r_{N_0}, 1 - r_{N_0})$
- We have $p^\psi \oplus q^\psi \prec (1) \oplus R$, so $H(p^\psi) + H(q^\psi) \geq H(R).$
- We estimate $H(R) \geq \ln N - 3.49.$

Many measurements: similar ideas

Random unitaries. Norms of submatrices

Recall that

$$U(n, m) = \max\{\|A\| : A \text{ is an } n \times m \text{ submatrix of } U\}$$

Random unitaries. Norms of submatrices

Recall that

$$U(n, m) = \max\{\|A\| : A \text{ is an } n \times m \text{ submatrix of } U\}$$

It is not difficult to obtain lower and upper bounds on $U(n, m)$ which differ by an absolute constant:

$$\frac{1}{C} \sqrt{\frac{n}{N} \ln \left(\frac{eN}{n} \right) + \frac{m}{N} \ln \left(\frac{eN}{m} \right)} \leq U(n, m) \leq C \sqrt{\frac{n}{N} \ln \left(\frac{eN}{n} \right) + \frac{m}{N} \ln \left(\frac{eN}{m} \right)}$$

with probability tending to one as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Random unitaries. Norms of submatrices

Recall that

$$U(n, m) = \max\{\|A\| : A \text{ is an } n \times m \text{ submatrix of } U\}$$

It is not difficult to obtain lower and upper bounds on $U(n, m)$ which differ by an absolute constant:

$$\frac{1}{C} \sqrt{\frac{n}{N} \ln \left(\frac{eN}{n} \right) + \frac{m}{N} \ln \left(\frac{eN}{m} \right)} \leq U(n, m) \leq C \sqrt{\frac{n}{N} \ln \left(\frac{eN}{n} \right) + \frac{m}{N} \ln \left(\frac{eN}{m} \right)}$$

with probability tending to one as $N \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand we saw that for n, m independent on N ,

$$U(n, m) \leq (1 + o_P(1)) \sqrt{\frac{n+m}{N} \ln N} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Random unitaries. Norms of submatrices

Recall that

$$U(n, m) = \max\{\|A\| : A \text{ is an } n \times m \text{ submatrix of } U\}$$

It is not difficult to obtain lower and upper bounds on $U(n, m)$ which differ by an absolute constant:

$$\frac{1}{C} \sqrt{\frac{n}{N} \ln \left(\frac{eN}{n} \right) + \frac{m}{N} \ln \left(\frac{eN}{m} \right)} \leq U(n, m) \leq C \sqrt{\frac{n}{N} \ln \left(\frac{eN}{n} \right) + \frac{m}{N} \ln \left(\frac{eN}{m} \right)}$$

with probability tending to one as $N \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand we saw that for n, m independent on N ,

$$U(n, m) \leq (1 + o_P(1)) \sqrt{\frac{n+m}{N} \ln N} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Question: What is the precise behaviour of $U(n, m)$ for large N ?

Lower bounds on norms of submatrices

Theorem (Latała, Puchała, Życzkowski, A. (2014))

If n, m are fixed (independent of N), then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ with pr. tending to one,

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \sqrt{\frac{n+m}{N} \ln N} \leq U(n, m) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \sqrt{\frac{n+m}{N} \ln N}.$$

Lower bounds on norms of submatrices

Theorem (Latała, Puchała, Życzkowski, A. (2014))

If n, m are fixed (independent of N), then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ with $pr.$ tending to one,

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \sqrt{\frac{n+m}{N} \ln N} \leq U(n, m) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \sqrt{\frac{n+m}{N} \ln N}.$$

Theorem (Latała, Puchała, Życzkowski, A. (2014))

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, with $pr.$ tending to one, for all $n = 1, \dots, N$,

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{N} \left(1 + \ln \left(\frac{N}{n}\right)\right)} \leq U(n, 1) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{N} \left(1 + \ln \left(\frac{N}{n}\right)\right)}.$$

A few words about the proof of the lower bounds

- For the case of fixed n, m we use a result by Jiang on coupling of U and a complex Ginibre matrix and then some simple combinatorics. It turns out that in this case the maximum spectral norm of a submatrix is roughly the same as the maximum Hilbert-Schmidt norm.
- For the case of $n \times 1$ submatrices we split into
 - $n < n_0$ – reduces to fixed size submatrices

A few words about the proof of the lower bounds

- For the case of fixed n, m we use a result by Jiang on coupling of U and a complex Ginibre matrix and then some simple combinatorics. It turns out that in this case the maximum spectral norm of a submatrix is roughly the same as the maximum Hilbert-Schmidt norm.
- For the case of $n \times 1$ submatrices we split into
 - $n < n_0$ – reduces to fixed size submatrices
 - $n > n_0$ – enough to look at subvectors of a single column (call it X) only. But $X \sim \text{Unif}(S_{\mathbb{C}}^{N-1})$ and we look at

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (|X_i|^2)^{\downarrow}}.$$

A few words about the proof of the lower bounds

- For the case of fixed n, m we use a result by Jiang on coupling of U and a complex Ginibre matrix and then some simple combinatorics. It turns out that in this case the maximum spectral norm of a submatrix is roughly the same as the maximum Hilbert-Schmidt norm.
- For the case of $n \times 1$ submatrices we split into
 - $n < n_0$ – reduces to fixed size submatrices
 - $n > n_0$ – enough to look at subvectors of a single column (call it X) only. But $X \sim \text{Unif}(S_{\mathbb{C}}^{N-1})$ and we look at

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (|X_i|^2)^{\downarrow}}.$$

It is known that $(|X_i|^2)_{i=1}^N$ is distributed uniformly on the simplex, so expectation reduces to calculating barycenters.

Final comments

- **The main message:** Random unitaries satisfy with high probability almost optimal entropic uncertainty relations for an arbitrary number of measurements. The analysis of this phenomenon becomes quite easy if one uses majorization and Schur concavity.

Final comments

- **The main message:** Random unitaries satisfy with high probability almost optimal entropic uncertainty relations for an arbitrary number of measurements. The analysis of this phenomenon becomes quite easy if one uses majorization and Schur concavity.
- For random measurements

$$\ln N - C_0 \geq \mathbb{E} \min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq \ln N - C_1,$$

Question: Does there exist a limit

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\ln N - \mathbb{E} \min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \right)?$$

Final comments

- **The main message:** Random unitaries satisfy with high probability almost optimal entropic uncertainty relations for an arbitrary number of measurements. The analysis of this phenomenon becomes quite easy if one uses majorization and Schur concavity.
- For random measurements

$$\ln N - C_0 \geq \mathbb{E} \min_{\psi} \left(H(\rho^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq \ln N - C_1,$$

Question: Does there exist a limit

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\ln N - \mathbb{E} \min_{\psi} \left(H(\rho^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \right)?$$

- How to construct explicit matrices satisfying almost optimal entropic uncertainty relations for $L > 2$?

Final comments

- **The main message:** Random unitaries satisfy with high probability almost optimal entropic uncertainty relations for an arbitrary number of measurements. The analysis of this phenomenon becomes quite easy if one uses majorization and Schur concavity.
- For random measurements

$$\ln N - C_0 \geq \mathbb{E} \min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \geq \ln N - C_1,$$

Question: Does there exist a limit

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\ln N - \mathbb{E} \min_{\psi} \left(H(p^{\psi}) + H(q^{\psi}) \right) \right)?$$

- How to construct explicit matrices satisfying almost optimal entropic uncertainty relations for $L > 2$?
- What is the precise behaviour of maximum norms of submatrices of an $N \times N$ random unitary matrix beyond the cases of fixed size or $n \times 1$ submatrices?

Thank you